

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JUSTIN DUFOE, on Behalf of Himself  
and All Others Similarly Situated,

Lead Plaintiff,

v.

DRAFTKINGS INC., JASON D.  
ROBINS, JASON K. PARK, and  
MATTHEW KALISH,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER PRELIMINARILY APPROVING SETTLEMENT  
AND AUTHORIZING DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE**

WHEREAS, a putative securities action is pending in this Court entitled *Justin Dufoe v. DraftKings Inc., et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC (D. Mass.) (the “Action”);

WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff Justin Dufoe (“Lead Plaintiff”), on behalf of himself and other members of the Settlement Class, and (ii) Defendants DraftKings Inc. (“DraftKings”), Jason D. Robins, Jason K. Park, and Matthew Kalish (together with DraftKings, “Defendants”) have determined to settle all claims asserted against Defendants in this Action with prejudice on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated February 26, 2025 (the “Stipulation”), subject to the approval of this Court (the “Settlement”);

WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff has made a motion, pursuant to Rule 23(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for an order preliminarily approving the Settlement in accordance with the Stipulation and allowing notice to Settlement Class Members as more fully described herein;

WHEREAS, the Court has read and considered: (a) Lead Plaintiff’s motion for preliminary approval of the Settlement and authorization to send notice of the Settlement to the Settlement

Class, and the papers filed and arguments made in connection therewith; and (b) the Stipulation and the exhibits attached thereto; and

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Order, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meanings as they have in the Stipulation;

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. **Definitions** – Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings given to them in the Stipulation (certain of which are repeated here for ease of reference only).

2. **Jurisdiction** – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over Lead Plaintiff, Defendants, and each of the Settlement Class Members.

3. **Proposed Class Certification for Settlement Purposes** – The Parties have proposed the certification of the following Settlement Class pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure solely for purposes of effectuating the proposed Settlement: all persons or entities who purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held, used, or otherwise transacted in non-fungible tokens (“NFTs”) in a DraftKings account during the Class Period, including, without limitation, Marketplace NFTs. Excluded from the Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) DraftKings’ officers and directors during the Class Period; (iii) the Immediate Family of Defendants and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns; and (iv) any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those persons who are found by the Court to have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

4. **Class Findings** – The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that it will likely be able to certify the Settlement Class for purposes of the proposed Settlement. Specifically, the Court finds that each element required for certification of the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been met or will likely be met: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that their joinder in the Action would be impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of Lead Plaintiff in the Action are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel have and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the Action.

5. The Court also finds, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that it will likely be able to certify Lead Plaintiff as Class Representative for the Settlement Class and appoint Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23(g) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6. **Preliminary Approval of the Settlement** – The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement, as embodied in the Stipulation, and finds, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B)(i) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that it will likely be able to finally approve the Settlement under Rule 23(e)(2) as being fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, subject to further consideration at the Settlement Hearing to be conducted as described below.

7. **Settlement Hearing** – The Court will hold a settlement hearing (the “Settlement Hearing”) on July 30, 2025 at 3:00 p.m. at Courtroom 11 of the John Joseph Moakley United States Courthouse, One Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, for the

following purposes: (a) to determine whether the proposed Settlement on the terms and conditions provided for in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should be finally approved by the Court; (b) to determine whether, for purposes of the Settlement only, the Action should be certified as a class action on behalf of the Settlement Class, Lead Plaintiff should be certified as Class Representative for the Settlement Class, and Class Counsel should be appointed as counsel for the Settlement Class; (c) to determine whether a Judgment substantially in the form attached as Exhibit B to the Stipulation should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice against Defendants; (d) to determine whether the proposed Plan of Allocation for the proceeds of the Settlement is fair and reasonable and should be approved; (e) to determine whether the application by Class Counsel for a Fee and Expense Award should be approved; (f) to determine whether the request for a Service Award to Lead Plaintiff Justin Dufoe should be approved; and (g) to consider any other matters that may properly be brought before the Court in connection with the Settlement. Notice of the Settlement and the Settlement Hearing shall be given to Settlement Class Members as set forth in paragraphs 9 through 10 of this Order.

8. The Court may adjourn the Settlement Hearing without further notice to the Settlement Class, and may approve the proposed Settlement with such modifications as the Parties may agree to, if appropriate, without further notice to the Settlement Class.

9. **Retention of Settlement Administrator and Manner of Giving Notice** – Class Counsel are hereby authorized to retain A.B. Data, Ltd. (the “Settlement Administrator”) to supervise and administer the notice procedure in connection with the proposed Settlement, as well as the processing of Proofs of Claim as more fully set forth below.

10. Notice of the Settlement and the Settlement Hearing shall be given by Class Counsel as follows:

(a) beginning not later than fifteen (15) business days after the date of entry of this Order (the “Notice Date”), the Settlement Administrator shall cause a copy of the Email Notice, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-1 to be emailed to all potential Settlement Class Members who may be identified through reasonable effort, including those identified by DraftKings; thereafter, the Long-Form Notice will be mailed by first-class mail if requested or if Email Notice is undeliverable;

(b) by the Notice Date, the Settlement Administrator shall cause copies of the Long-Form Notice and Claim Form, substantially in the forms attached hereto as Exhibits A-2 and A-3, to be posted on a website to be developed for the Settlement, from which copies of the Notice and Claim Form can be downloaded and shall maintain a toll-free number, which Settlement Class Members can call with questions about the Settlement;

(c) not later than ten (10) business days after the Notice Date, the Settlement Administrator shall cause the Publication Notice, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A-4, to be transmitted once over the PR Newswire; and

(d) not later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, Class Counsel shall serve on Defendants’ Counsel and file with the Court proof, by affidavit or declaration, of such emailing, mailing, and publication.

11. **Provision of Settlement Class Information** – Within fourteen (14) business days of the date of entry of this Order, DraftKings shall use reasonable efforts to provide or cause to be provided to Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator, to the extent known or reasonably knowable or otherwise readily identifiable, the names and contact information (including mailing addresses and email addresses) in an electronic format, such as Excel, of DraftKings customers who purchased, sold, or held Marketplace NFTs (“Settlement Class Information”). The Settlement

Class Information shall be deemed “Highly Confidential” pursuant to the Protective Order that has been entered in the Action, and shall be subject to all of the provisions thereof. The sharing of the Settlement Class Information shall be done pursuant to the Protective Order, and Class Counsel shall require the Settlement Administrator to comply with the Protective Order and any applicable law regarding the privacy rights of the Settlement Class Members.

12. To the extent that any federal or state law or other legal authority governing the disclosure of personal data permits disclosure of the Settlement Class Information only pursuant to an order of a court (“Personal Data Disclosure Law”), this Order shall constitute compliance with such requirement. Further, to the extent that any Personal Data Disclosure Law requires DraftKings to give notice to or obtain consent, in any form or manner, from any person or entity before disclosure of any personal data, the Court finds that there is good cause to excuse such requirement for purposes of disclosing the Settlement Class Information, in view of the protections provided for this information designated under the Protective Order entered in the Action. Additionally, this Order shall constitute an express direction that DraftKings is exempt from obtaining an additional court order, having to notify or obtain consent from any person or entity prior to disclosure of personal data in connection with the Settlement, or having to provide a certification that notice has been waived for good cause.

13. **Approval of Form and Content of Notice** – The Court (a) approves, as to form and content, the Email Notice, Long-Form Notice, Claim Form, and Publication Notice, attached hereto as Exhibits A-1, A-2, A-3, and A-4, respectively, and (b) finds that the internet distribution via the Settlement Website of the Long-Form Notice and Claim Form and the publication of the Publication Notice in the manner and form set forth in paragraph 10 of this Order: (i) are the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) constitute notice that is reasonably calculated,

under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, of the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder), of Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, including their request for an incentive award to Lead Plaintiff, of their right to object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or Class Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, including their request for an incentive award to Lead Plaintiff, of their right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class, and of their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (iii) constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (iv) satisfy the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules. The date and time of the Settlement Hearing shall be included in the Email Notice, Long-Form Notice, and Publication Notice before they are mailed and published, respectively.

14. **CAFA Notice** – As provided in the Stipulation, Defendants shall serve the notice required under Section 1715(b) of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, *et seq.* (“CAFA”), no later than ten (10) calendar days following the filing of the Stipulation with the Court. DraftKings shall be solely responsible for the costs of the CAFA notice and administering the CAFA notice. No later than seven (7) calendar days before the Settlement Hearing, Defendants shall cause to be served on Class Counsel and filed with the Court proof, by affidavit or declaration, regarding compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b).

15. **Participation in the Settlement** – Settlement Class Members who wish to participate in the Settlement and to be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund must complete and submit a Claim Form in accordance with the instructions contained

therein. Unless the Court orders otherwise, all Claim Forms must be postmarked no later than one hundred twenty (120) calendar days after the Notice Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Class Counsel may, at their discretion, accept for processing late Proofs of Claim provided such acceptance does not delay the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to the Settlement Class. By submitting a Proof of Claim, a person or entity shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to his, her, or its Proof of Claim and the subject matter of the Settlement.

16. Each Claim Form submitted must satisfy the following conditions: (a) it must be properly completed, signed, and submitted in a timely manner in accordance with the provisions of the preceding paragraph; (b) it must be accompanied by adequate supporting documentation in accordance with the instructions accompanying the Claim Form, or such other documentation or proof as is deemed adequate by Class Counsel or the Settlement Administrator; (c) if the person executing the Claim Form is acting in a representative capacity, a certification of his, her, or its current authority to act on behalf of the Settlement Class Member must be included in the Claim Form to the satisfaction of Class Counsel or the Settlement Administrator; and (d) the Claim Form must be complete and contain no material deletions or modifications of any of the printed matter contained therein and must be signed under penalty of perjury.

17. Any Settlement Class Member that does not timely and validly submit a Claim Form or whose Proof of Claim is not otherwise approved by the Court: (a) shall be deemed to have waived his, her, or its right to share in the Net Settlement Fund; (b) shall be forever barred from participating in any distributions therefrom; (c) shall be bound by the provisions of the Stipulation and the Settlement and all proceedings, determinations, orders, and judgments in the Action relating thereto, including, without limitation, the Judgment and the Releases provided for therein, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class; and (d) will be barred from



commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting any of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against each and all of the Released Defendant Parties, as more fully described in the Stipulation and Notices. Notwithstanding the foregoing, late Claim Forms may be accepted for processing as set forth in paragraph 15 of this Order.

18. **Exclusion from the Settlement Class** – Any member of the Settlement Class who wishes to exclude himself, herself, or itself from the Settlement Class must request exclusion in writing within the time and in the manner set forth in the Notices, which shall provide that: (a) any such request for exclusion from the Settlement Class must be signed and mailed or delivered such that it is received no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, to: *DraftKings NFT Settlement*, EXCLUSIONS, c/o A.B. Data, P.O. Box. 173039, Milwaukee, WI 53217; and (b) each request for exclusion must: (i) state the name, address, and telephone number of the person or entity requesting exclusion, and, in the case of entities, the name and telephone number of the appropriate contact person; (ii) identify all transactions in Marketplace NFTs by or on behalf of such person or entity during the Settlement Class Period; and (iii) state that such person or entity “requests exclusion from the Settlement Class in *Dufoe v. DraftKings Inc.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC (D. Mass.)” A request for exclusion shall not be effective unless it provides all the required information and is received within the time stated above, or is otherwise accepted by the Court.

19. Any person or entity who or which timely and validly requests exclusion in compliance with the terms stated in this Order and is excluded from the Settlement Class shall not be a Settlement Class Member, shall not be bound by the terms of the Settlement or any orders or judgments in the Action, and shall not receive any payment out of the Net Settlement Fund.

20. Any Settlement Class Member who or which does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement Class in the manner stated in this Order: (a) shall be deemed to have waived his, her, or its right to be excluded from the Settlement Class; (b) shall be forever barred from requesting exclusion from the Settlement Class in this or any other proceeding; (c) shall be bound by the provisions of the Stipulation and Settlement and all proceedings, determinations, orders, and judgments in the Action, including, but not limited to, the Judgment and the Releases provided for therein, whether favorable or unfavorable to the Settlement Class; and (d) will be barred from commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting any of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties, as more fully described in the Stipulation and Notices.

21. **Appearance and Objections at Settlement Hearing** – Any Settlement Class Member who or which does not request exclusion from the Settlement Class may enter an appearance in the Action, at his, her, or its own expense, individually or through counsel of his, her, or its own choice, by filing with the Clerk of Court and delivering a notice of appearance to both Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel, at the addresses set forth in paragraph 22 of this Order, such that it is received no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing, or as the Court may otherwise direct. Any Settlement Class Member who does not enter an appearance will be represented by Class Counsel.

22. Any Settlement Class Member who or which does not request exclusion from the Settlement Class may file a written objection to the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees, reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, and Service Award and appear and show cause, if he, she, or it has any cause, why the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or Class Counsel's motion for

attorneys' fees, Litigation Expenses, and Service Award should not be approved; provided, however, that no Settlement Class Member shall be heard or entitled to contest the approval of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and/or the motion for attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, or an incentive award to Lead Plaintiff unless that person or entity has filed a written objection with the Court and served copies of such objection on Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel at the addresses set forth below such that they are received no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing.

Class Counsel: Kirby McInerney LLP  
Attn: Anthony F. Fata  
211 West Wacker Drive, Suite 550  
Chicago, Illinois 60606  
Email: afata@kmlp.com

Defendants' Counsel: Sullivan & Cromwell LLP  
Attn: Brian T. Frawley  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 10004  
Email: frawleyb@sullcrom.com

23. Any objections, filings, and other submissions by the objecting Settlement Class Member must: (a) identify the case name and docket number, *Dufoe v. DraftKings Inc.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC (D. Mass.); (b) state the name, address, and telephone number of the person or entity objecting and be signed by the objector; (c) state with specificity the grounds for the Settlement Class Member's objection, including any legal and evidentiary support the Settlement Class Member wishes to bring to the Court's attention and whether the objection applies only to the objector, to a specific subset of the Settlement Class, or to the entire Settlement Class; and (d) include documents sufficient to prove membership in the Settlement Class; and (e) be signed by the person or entity requesting exclusion or an authorized representative. Objectors who enter an appearance and desire to present evidence at the Settlement Hearing in support of their objection

must include in their written objection or notice of appearance the identity of any witnesses they may call to testify and any exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the hearing.

24. Unless the Court otherwise directs, any person who or which does not make his, her, or its objection in the manner provided herein shall be deemed to have waived his, her, or its right to object to any aspect of the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, and an incentive award to Lead Plaintiff and shall be forever barred and foreclosed from objecting to the fairness, reasonableness, or adequacy of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the Fee and Expense Award, and Service Award, or from otherwise being heard concerning the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the Fee and Expense Award, and Service Award in this or any other proceeding.

25. **Stay and Temporary Injunction** – Until otherwise ordered by the Court, the Court stays all proceedings in the Action other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Stipulation. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, the Court bars and enjoins Lead Plaintiff and all other members of the Settlement Class from commencing or prosecuting any and all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims, either directly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any and all of the Released Defendant Parties.

26. **Supporting Papers** – Class Counsel shall file and serve the opening papers in support of the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses, including any request for an incentive award to Lead Plaintiff, no later than thirty-five (35) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing; and reply papers, if any, shall be filed and served no later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the Settlement Hearing.

27. **Settlement Administration Fees and Expenses** – All reasonable costs incurred in identifying Settlement Class Members and notifying them of the Settlement as well as in administering the Settlement shall be paid as set forth in the Stipulation without further order of the Court.

28. **Settlement Fund** – The contents of the Settlement Fund held in the Escrow Account shall be deemed and considered to be *in custodia legis*, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as they shall be distributed pursuant to the Stipulation and/or further order(s) of the Court.

29. **Taxes** – Class Counsel are authorized and directed to prepare any tax returns and any other tax reporting form for or in respect to the Settlement Fund, to pay from the Settlement Fund any Taxes owed with respect to the Settlement Fund, and to otherwise perform all obligations with respect to Taxes and any reporting or filings in respect thereof without further order of the Court in a manner consistent with the provisions of the Stipulation.

30. **Effectiveness of Judgment** – If the Settlement is approved by the Court following the Settlement Hearing, the Court shall enter the Judgment substantially in the form attached to the Stipulation as Exhibit B. The effectiveness of the Judgment shall not be conditioned upon the approval of a Fee and Expense Award or Service Award, either at all or in any particular amount, by the Court.

31. **Termination of Settlement** – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date otherwise fails to occur, this Order and any related orders entered by the Court shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*; the Stipulation shall be null and void and of no force and effect (except as otherwise provided for in the Stipulation); Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective litigation status immediately prior

to October 22, 2024; Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall negotiate a new trial schedule in good faith; Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall proceed as if the Stipulation had not been executed and the related orders had not been entered; all of their respective claims and defenses as to any issue in the Action shall be preserved without prejudice; and any cash amounts in the Settlement Fund (less any Taxes paid, due or owing with respect to the Settlement Fund and less any Notice Costs and Administration Costs actually incurred, paid or payable) shall be returned.

32. **Retention of Jurisdiction** – The Court may, for good cause shown, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without further notice to the Settlement Class, and the Court retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the Settlement.

33. **No Admissions** – Neither this Order, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Stipulation and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith) is or shall not be deemed or argued to be evidence of or to constitute any admission or concession by: (a) Defendants or any other Released Defendant Parties as to (i) the truth of any fact alleged by Lead Plaintiff, (ii) the validity of any claims or other issues raised, or which might be or might have been raised, in the Action or in any other litigation, (iii) the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any other litigation, or (iv) any wrongdoing, fault, or liability of any kind by any of them, which each of them expressly denies; or (b) Lead Plaintiff that any of their claims are without merit, that any of Defendants had


meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable from Defendants under the Complaint would not have exceeded the amount of the Settlement Payment.

34. **Other Proceedings** – The Released Defendant Parties may file this Order and/or the Stipulation in any action that has been or may be brought against them in order to support a claim or defense based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim or in connection with any insurance litigation.

35. **Headings** – The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.

36. **Extensions** – The Court may, for good cause, extend any of the deadlines set forth in this Order without further notice to Settlement Class Members.

SO ORDERED this 28th day of February, 2025.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Denise J. Casper  
United States District Judge

# **EXHIBIT A-1**



**EXHIBIT A-1**

**Claim Identification Number:**  
**PIN:**

**Visit [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com) for copies of the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear and the Proof of Claim and Release Form**

**TO: ALL PERSONS WHO PURCHASED, SOLD, HELD, OR OTHERWISE TRANSACTED DRAFTKINGS NFTS FROM AUGUST 11, 2021 THROUGH THE PRESENT, INCLUSIVE**

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED, pursuant to an Order of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, that a hearing will be held on [DATE], at [TIME] before the Honorable Denise J. Casper, United States District Judge of the District of Massachusetts, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, MA 02210, for the purpose of determining: (1) whether the proposed Settlement of the claims in *Dufoe v. DraftKings*, No. 1:23-cv-10524 (D. Mass.) (the “Action”) for consideration including the sum of \$10,000,000 should be approved by the Court as fair, reasonable, and adequate; (2) whether the proposed Plan of Allocation is fair, reasonable, and adequate; (3) whether the application of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys’ fees of up to one-third plus interest of the Settlement Amount, reimbursement of expenses of not more than \$100,000, and a service payment to Lead Plaintiff of not more than \$50,000 should be approved; and (4) whether this Action should be dismissed with prejudice as set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated February 26, 2025 (the “Stipulation”). The Court reserves the right to hold the Final Approval Hearing telephonically or by other virtual means.

If you purchased, sold, held, or otherwise transacted DraftKings NFTs from August 11, 2021 through present, both dates inclusive, your rights may be affected by this Settlement, including the release and extinguishment of claims you may possess relating to your ownership of DraftKings NFTs. If you need assistance obtaining a detailed Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (“Notice”) and a copy of the Proof of Claim and Release Form (“Claim Form”), you may write, call, or contact the Claims Administrator: DraftKings NFT Settlement, c/o A.B. Data, P.O. Box. 173039, Milwaukee, WI 53217; Phone: 877-833-9186; Email: [info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com](mailto:info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com). You can also download copies of the Notice and submit your Claim Form online at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com). If you are a member of the Settlement Class, to share in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund, you must submit a Claim Form electronically or postmarked no later than [DATE], to the Claims Administrator, establishing that you are entitled to a share in the recovery. Unless you submit a written exclusion request, you will be bound by any judgment rendered in the Action whether or not you make a claim.

If you desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class, you must submit to the Claims Administrator a request for exclusion so that it is received no later than [DATE], in the manner and form explained in the Notice. All members of the Settlement Class who have not requested exclusion from the Settlement Class will be bound by any judgment entered in the Action pursuant to the Stipulation.

Any objection to the Settlement, Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel’s request for a fee and expense award or Lead Plaintiff service award must be in the manner and form explained in the detailed notice and received no later than [DATE], by each of the following:

Clerk of the Court United States District Court District of Massachusetts 1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210	Kirby McInerney LLP Attn: Anthony F. Fata 211 W. Wacker Dr., Suite 550 Chicago, IL 60606  <b>Plaintiff’s Lead Counsel</b>	Sullivan & Cromwell LLP Attn: Brian T. Frawley 125 Broad St. New York, NY 10004  <b>Counsel for Defendants</b>
---	--	---

If you have any questions about the Settlement, please contact the Claims Administrator:  
DraftKings NFT Settlement  
c/o A.B. Data  
P.O. Box. 173039  
Milwaukee, WI 53217  
Toll Free Number: (877) 833-9186  
Email: [info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com](mailto:info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com)

**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT OR THE CLERK’S OFFICE REGARDING THIS NOTICE.**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

BY ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

# **EXHIBIT A-2**

EXHIBIT A-2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JUSTIN DUFOE, on Behalf of Himself  
and All Others Similarly Situated,

Lead Plaintiff,

v.

DRAFTKINGS INC., JASON D.  
ROBINS, JASON K. PARK, and  
MATTHEW KALISH,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION,  
SETTLEMENT HEARING, AND RIGHT TO APPEAR**

***A Federal Court authorized this Notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.***

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION:** Please be advised that your rights may be affected by the above-captioned securities class action (the “Action”) pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts (the “Court”), if, during the period from August 11, 2021 through and inclusive of the date of entry of the Judgment (the “Settlement Class Period”), you purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held, used, or otherwise transacted in non-fungible tokens (“NFTs”) in a DraftKings Inc. (“DraftKings” or the “Company”) account, including, but without limitation, DraftKings Marketplace (“DK Marketplace”) NFTs, and were damaged thereby.<sup>1</sup>

**NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT:** Please also be advised that the Court-appointed Lead Plaintiff, Justin Dufoe (“Lead Plaintiff”) on behalf of himself and the Settlement Class (as defined in ¶ 14 below), have reached a proposed Settlement of the Action for \$10,000,000 in cash that, if approved, will resolve all claims in the Action (the “Settlement”).

**PLEASE READ THIS NOTICE CAREFULLY. This Notice explains important rights you may have, including the possible receipt of cash from the Settlement. If you are a member of the Settlement Class, your legal rights will be affected whether or not you act.**

These rights and options – **and the deadline to exercise them** – are explained in this Notice.

---

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms used in this Notice that are not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated February 26, 2025 (the “Stipulation”), which is available at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com).

<b>YOUR LEGAL RIGHTS AND OPTIONS IN THE SETTLEMENT:</b>	
<b>SUBMIT A CLAIM FORM ONLINE, VIA EMAIL, OR POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN [DATE].</b>	This is the only way to be eligible to receive a payment from the Settlement Fund. If you are a Settlement Class Member and you remain in the Settlement Class, you will be bound by the Settlement as approved by the Court and you will give up any Released Plaintiffs' Claims (defined in ¶ 23 below) that you have against Released Defendant Parties (defined in ¶ 24 below), so it is in your interest to submit a Claim Form.
<b>EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS BY SUBMITTING A WRITTEN REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED NO LATER THAN [DATE].</b>	If you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you will not be eligible to receive any payment from the Settlement Fund. This is the only option that allows you ever to be part of any other lawsuit against any of the Released Defendant Parties concerning the Released Plaintiffs' Claims.
<b>OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT BY SUBMITTING A WRITTEN OBJECTION SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED NO LATER THAN [DATE].</b>	If you do not like the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or the request for a Fee and Expense Award, you may write to the Court and explain why you do not like them. You cannot object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or the request for a Fee and Expense Award unless you are a Settlement Class Member and do not exclude yourself from the Settlement Class.
<b>GO TO A HEARING CURRENTLY SCHEDULED FOR [DATE] AT : .M., AND FILE A NOTICE OF INTENTION TO APPEAR SO THAT IT IS RECEIVED NO LATER THAN [DATE].</b>	Filing a written objection and notice of intention to appear by [DATE] allows you to speak in Court, at the discretion of the Court, about the fairness of the proposed Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, and/or the request for a Fee and Expense Award. If you submit a written objection, you may (but you do not have to) attend the hearing and, at the discretion of the Court, speak about your objection.
<b>DO NOTHING.</b>	If you are a member of the Settlement Class and you do not submit a valid Claim Form, you will not be eligible to receive any payment from the Settlement Fund. You will, however, remain a member of the Settlement Class, which means that you give up your right to sue about the claims that are resolved by the Settlement, and you will be bound by any judgments or orders entered by the Court in the Action.

The Court overseeing this case still has to decide whether to approve the Settlement.

This Notice summarizes the proposed Settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the Settlement, you may: (1) see the Settlement Agreement available at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com); (2) contact Class Counsel representing the Class Members (contact information listed under Paragraph 61 below); (3) access the Court docket in the case, for a fee, through the Court’s Public Access to Court Electronic Records (PACER) system at <https://ecf.cand.uscourts.gov>; or (4) visit the office of the Clerk of Court for the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Court holidays.

**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT OR THE COURT CLERK’S OFFICE REGARDING THIS NOTICE, THIS SETTLEMENT, OR THE CLAIMS PROCESS.**

**WHAT THIS NOTICE CONTAINS**

	<b>Page</b>
What This Notice Contains.....	3
Why Did I Receive This Notice? .....	4
What Is This Case About? .....	4
How Do I Know If I Am Affected By The Settlement? Who Is Included In The Settlement Class?.....	6
What Are Lead Plaintiff’s Reasons For The Settlement?.....	6
What Might Happen If There Were No Settlement? .....	7
How Are Settlement Class Members Affected By The Action And The Settlement?.....	7
How Do I Participate In The Settlement? What Do I Need To Do? .....	9
How Much Will My Payment Be?.....	10
Proposed Plan Of Allocation .....	11
What Payment Are The Attorneys For The Settlement Class Seeking? How Will The Lawyers Be Paid? .....	13
What If I Do Not Want To Be A Member Of The Settlement Class? How Do I Exclude Myself? .....	13
When And Where Will The Court Decide Whether To Approve The Settlement? Do I Have To Come To The Hearing? May I Speak At The Hearing If I Do Not Like The Settlement?.....	14
Can I See The Court File? Whom Should I Contact If I Have Questions? .....	15

**WHY DID I RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?**

1. The Court directed that this Notice be mailed to you because you may have purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held, used, or otherwise transacted in DraftKings NFTs during the Settlement Class Period. The Court has directed us to send you this Notice because, as a potential Settlement Class Member, you have a right to know about your options before the Court rules on the proposed Settlement. Additionally, you have the right to understand how this class action lawsuit may generally affect your legal rights. If the Court approves the Settlement and the Plan of Allocation (or some other plan of allocation), the Claims Administrator, selected by Lead Plaintiff and approved by the Court, will make payments pursuant to the Settlement after any objections and appeals are resolved.

2. The purpose of this Notice is to inform you of the existence of this case, that it is a class action, how you might be affected, and how to exclude yourself from the Settlement Class if you wish to do so. It is also being sent to inform you of the terms of the proposed Settlement, and of a hearing to be held by the Court to consider the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, and the motion by Lead Counsel for a Fee and Expense Award and the Service Award (the “Settlement Hearing”). See paragraph 51 below for details about the Settlement Hearing, including the date and location of the hearing.

3. The issuance of this Notice is not an expression of any opinion by the Court concerning the merits of any claim in the Action, and the Court still has to decide whether to approve the Settlement. If the Court approves the Settlement and a plan of allocation, then payments to Authorized Claimants will be made after any appeals are resolved and after the completion of all claims processing. Please be patient, as this process can take some time to complete.

**WHAT IS THIS CASE ABOUT?**

4. A class action complaint was filed in the Court on March 9, 2023, styled *Dufoe et al. v. DraftKings Inc. et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524 (D. Mass.).

5. By Order dated June 6, 2023, Dufoe was appointed Lead Plaintiff, and its selection of Kirby McInerney LLP to serve as Lead Counsel and Berman Tabacco to serve as Local Counsel was approved by the Court. Together, Kirby McInerney LLP, Berman Tabacco, Hannafan & Hannafan Ltd., and G. Dowd Law LLC serve as Class Counsel.

6. On August 4, 2023, Lead Plaintiff filed the Amended Complaint for violation of federal and Massachusetts securities laws alleging claims (i) against all Defendants for alleged violations of §§ 5 and 12(a)(1) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”), (ii) against DraftKings for alleged violations of §§ 5 and 29(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”), (iii) against DraftKings for alleged violations of §§ 15(a)(1) and 29(b) of the Exchange Act, (iv) against Robins, Park, and Kalish for “control person” liability under § 15 of the Securities Act and § 20 of the Exchange Act, (v) against DraftKings for alleged violations of Mass. Gen. Laws Ch. 110A § 201(a), and (vi) against all Defendants for alleged violations of Mass. Gen. Laws Ch. 110A § 301. Among other things, the Amended Complaint alleged that NFTs purchased, sold, and held on the DraftKings Marketplace constitute securities that were not registered in accordance with federal and state law, and that the DK Marketplace is or was a securities exchange and DraftKings

acts or acted as a securities broker when transacting in NFTs, neither of which were registered as allegedly required by federal and state law.

7. On September 25, 2023, Defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, which was thereafter fully briefed. On July 2, 2024, the Court issued its Memorandum and Order denying the motion to dismiss. On August 15, 2024, Defendants filed their Answer to the Amended Complaint, after which discovery commenced.

8. In September and October 2024, counsel for the Parties engaged in early settlement communications and the exchange of information and discovery pertinent to alleged liability and damages issues and defenses to the same.

9. On October 22, 2024, counsel for the Parties participated in a full-day mediation session before Jed D. Melnick, Esq. and Orna Artal, Esq. of JAMS. Before the mediation, the Parties exchanged mediation statements and exhibits, which addressed issues of both liability and damages. The mediation was successful, and the Parties reached an agreement-in-principle to settle the Action for \$10,000,000 in cash, subject to negotiation and execution of mutually agreeable definitive documentation and approval by the Court. On October 23, 2024, the Parties informed the Court of the settlement-in-principle of the Action.

10. Lead Plaintiff and Lead Plaintiff's Counsel have concluded that the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are fair, reasonable, and adequate to Lead Plaintiff and the other members of the Settlement Class, and in their best interests. Based on Lead Plaintiff's direct oversight of the prosecution of this matter and with the advice of Lead Counsel and other Class Counsel, Lead Plaintiff has agreed to settle and release the Released Plaintiffs' Claims (as defined below) pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Stipulation, after considering, among other things: (a) the financial benefit that Lead Plaintiff and the other members of the Settlement Class will receive under the proposed Settlement; and (b) the significant costs, risks, and delay of continued litigation, trial, and appeal.

11. The Parties agree that certification of a class, for settlement purposes only, is appropriate in the Action. For purposes of this Settlement only, the Settlement Class is defined in paragraph 14. The Parties intend that the provisions herein concerning certification of the Settlement Class shall have no effect whatsoever in the event the Settlement does not become Final.

12. Defendants are entering into this Stipulation to avoid the cost, disruption, and uncertainty of further litigation. Each of the Defendants denies any wrongdoing, and this Stipulation shall in no event be construed or deemed to be evidence of or an admission or concession on the part of any of the Defendants with respect to any claim or allegation of any fault or liability or wrongdoing or damage whatsoever in any other matter, or any infirmity in the defenses that Defendants have, or could have, asserted. Defendants expressly deny any and all allegations of fault, liability, wrongdoing, or damages. Similarly, this Stipulation shall in no event be construed or deemed to be evidence of or an admission or concession on the part of Lead Plaintiff of any infirmity in any of the claims asserted in the Action, or an admission or concession that any of the Defendants' defenses to liability had any merit

13. On [DATE], the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement, authorized this Notice to be disseminated to potential Settlement Class Members, and scheduled the Settlement Hearing to consider whether to grant final approval to the Settlement.



**HOW DO I KNOW IF I AM AFFECTED BY THE SETTLEMENT?  
WHO IS INCLUDED IN THE SETTLEMENT CLASS?**

14. If you are a member of the Settlement Class, you are subject to the Settlement, unless you timely request to be excluded. The Settlement Class consists of:

All persons or entities who purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held, used, or otherwise transacted in NFTs in a DraftKings account from August 11, 2021 through and inclusive of the date of entry of the Judgment, including, without limitation, Marketplace NFTs.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) DraftKings' officers and directors during the Class Period; (iii) the Immediate Family of Defendants and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns; and (iv) any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those persons who are found by the Court to have timely and validly submitted a request for exclusion from the Settlement Class that is accepted by the Court. *See* "What if I Do Not Want to Be a Member of the Settlement Class? How Do I Exclude Myself," on page 13 below.

**PLEASE NOTE: RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE DOES NOT MEAN THAT YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER OR THAT YOU WILL BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE PROCEEDS FROM THE SETTLEMENT. IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER AND YOU WISH TO BE ELIGIBLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF PROCEEDS FROM THE SETTLEMENT, YOU ARE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THE CLAIM FORM THAT IS BEING DISTRIBUTED WITH THIS NOTICE AND THE REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION AS SET FORTH THEREIN ONLINE OR POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN [DATE].**

**WHAT ARE LEAD PLAINTIFF'S REASONS FOR THE SETTLEMENT?**

15. Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel believe that the claims asserted against Defendants have merit. They recognize, however, the expense and length of continued proceedings necessary to pursue their claims against the remaining Defendants through trial and appeals, as well as the very substantial risks they would face in establishing liability and damages. For example, Defendants argued and would have continued to argue that DraftKings is not a broker or dealer, that DraftKings NFTs are not securities, and that the Marketplace is not an exchange and therefore DraftKings is not subject to federal or state securities laws. Additionally, Defendants would continue to argue that Lead Plaintiff and the Class: (i) lack standing to challenge NFTs that were not purchased at a loss; (ii) allege claims that are in substantial part untimely; (iii) have no viable securities act claim for secondary market transactions; and (iv) alleged claims for damages are limited. Additionally, Lead Plaintiff would have to prevail at several stages—class certification, motions for summary judgment, trial, and if prevailed on those, on the appeals that were likely to follow. Thus, there were very significant risks attendant to the continued prosecution of the Action.

16. In light of these risks, the amount of the Settlement, and the immediacy of recovery to the Settlement Class, Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel believe that the proposed Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class. Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel believe that the Settlement provides a substantial benefit to the Settlement Class, namely

\$10,000,000 in cash (less the various deductions described in this Notice), as compared to the risk that the claims in the Action would produce a smaller, or no recovery after the motion to dismiss, summary judgment, trial, and appeals, possibly years in the future.

17. Defendants have denied the claims asserted against them in the Action and deny having engaged in any wrongdoing or violation of law of any kind whatsoever. Defendants have agreed to the Settlement solely to eliminate the burden and expense of continued litigation. Accordingly, the Settlement may not be construed as an admission of any wrongdoing by Defendants.

**WHAT MIGHT HAPPEN IF THERE WERE NO SETTLEMENT?**

18. If there were no Settlement and Lead Plaintiff failed to establish any essential legal or factual element of the claims asserted against Defendants, neither Lead Plaintiff nor the other members of the Settlement Class would recover anything from Defendants. Also, if Defendants were successful in proving any of their defenses, either at the class certification, summary judgment, trial, or appeal, the Settlement Class could recover substantially less than the amount provided in the Settlement, or nothing at all.

**HOW ARE SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBERS AFFECTED BY THE ACTION AND THE SETTLEMENT?**

19. As a Settlement Class Member, you are represented by Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel, unless you enter an appearance through counsel of your own choice at your own expense. You are not required to retain your own counsel, but if you choose to do so, such counsel must file a notice of appearance on your behalf and must serve copies of his or her appearance on the attorneys listed in the section below entitled, “When and Where Will the Court Decide Whether to Approve the Settlement?”

20. If you are a Settlement Class Member and do not wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, you may exclude yourself from the Settlement Class by following the instructions in the section below entitled, “What if I Do Not Want to Be a Member of the Settlement Class? How Do I Exclude Myself?”

21. If you are a Settlement Class Member and you wish to object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel’s application for a Fee and Expense Award and a Service Award, and if you do not exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you may present your objections by following the instructions in the section below entitled, “When and Where Will the Court Decide Whether to Approve the Settlement?”

22. If you are a Settlement Class Member and you do not exclude yourself from the Settlement Class, you will be bound by any orders issued by the Court. If the Settlement is approved, the Court will enter a judgment (the “Judgment”), which will dismiss with prejudice the claims against Defendants and will provide that, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiff and each of the other Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns, in their capacities as such, will have fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged any and all Released Plaintiffs’ Claims (as defined in ¶ 23 below) against the Released Defendant Parties (as defined in ¶ 24 below), and shall forever be barred and enjoined from

prosecuting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any and all of the Released Defendant Parties.

23. "Released Plaintiffs' Claims" means (i) any and all Claims asserted by Lead Plaintiff in the Action, and (ii) any and all Claims and Unknown Claims (as defined below) that Lead Plaintiff or any member of the Settlement Class asserted or could have asserted in the Action or in any other forum against the Released Defendant Parties in connection with, arising out of, or relating with respect to any Marketplace NFTs or any of the facts alleged in the Action, including, but not limited to, any and all Claims arising out of or relating to: (a) any purchase, acquisition, sale, trade, transfer, disposition of, owning, use, minting, burning, holding, listing, or any other transaction in any Marketplace NFTs during the Class Period; (b) any use or ownership of any Marketplace NFTs during the Class Period; (c) the value of, or consideration paid or received in, any Marketplace NFTs purchased, acquired, sold, traded, transferred, disposed of, owned, used, minted, burned, held, listed, or otherwise transacted in during the Class Period; (d) all disclosures, public statements, press releases, advertising, marketing, or solicitation materials, or other statements issued, made available, or disseminated by any of the Released Defendant Parties relating, directly or indirectly, to any Marketplace NFTs; (e) the fees, expenses or costs (including any Fee and Expense Award) incurred in prosecuting, defending, or settling the Action; (f) the Service Award; or (g) any deliberations, negotiations, representations, omissions, or other conduct leading to the execution of this Stipulation and entering into the Settlement.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Released Plaintiffs' Claims do not include (i) all claims relating to the enforcement of this Stipulation and the Settlement; (ii) all claims against Marketplace Licensors unrelated to the purchase, acquisition, sale, trade, transfer, disposition of, owning, use, minting, burning, holding, listing, or any other transaction in Marketplace NFTs on the DK Marketplace, including claims alleged in the complaints filed on or before the date of this Stipulation in the actions captioned *Headley v. LFG NFTs, Corp, et al.*, No. 1:24-cv-24613-FAM (S.D. Fla.), *Headley v. LFG NFTs, Corp, et al.*, No. 2024-015525-CA-01 (Fla. Circ. Ct. Miami-Dade Cty.), and *Mullens v. LFG NFTs, Corp.*, No. 23-SC-331C (Cal. Super. Ct. San Diego Cty.), (iii) the claims alleged derivatively on behalf of DraftKings in *In re DraftKings Inc. Stockholder Derivative Litigation*, Lead Case No. A-23-871543 (Clark Cty., Nev.); and (iv) all claims of any person or entity who or which submits a request for exclusion from the Class that is accepted by the Court.

24. "Released Defendant Parties" means: (i) the Defendants; (ii) the Immediate Family of any Defendant; (iii) Defendants' past or present, associates, members, managers, partners, partnerships, investment funds, subsidiaries, parents, predecessors, and successors; (iv) all entities that control, are controlled by, or are under common control with any Defendant; (v) all officers, directors, employees, agents, advisors, shareholders, and attorneys (including Defendants' Counsel) of any and all of the foregoing persons and entities; (vi) the legal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest, and assigns of any and all of the foregoing persons and entities; and (vii) any licensors of intellectual property rights or providers of services to DraftKings in connection with DK Marketplace or Marketplace NFTs, including, without limitation, National Football League Players Association, National Football League Players Incorporated, PGA Tour, Inc., Zuffa Marketing, LLC, LFG NFTS, Corp., and Matic Network BVI LTD, in each case in their capacities as such licensors or service providers to DraftKings and only in connection with the purchase, acquisition, sale, trade, transfer, disposition of, owning, use, minting, burning, holding, listing, or

any other transaction in any Marketplace NFTs on the DK Marketplace (collectively, “Marketplace Licensors”).

25. “Unknown Claims” means any Released Plaintiffs’ Claims that the Released Plaintiff Parties do not know or suspect to exist in his, her, its, or their favor at the time of the release of the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims, and any Released Defendants’ Claims that any Defendant does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, its, or their favor at the time of the release of the Released Defendants’ Claims, including those which, if known by him, her, it, or them, might have affected his, her, its, or their decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. The Parties acknowledge, and the other Settlement Class Members by operation of law are deemed to acknowledge, that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true with respect to the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and the Released Defendants’ Claims, but that it is the intention of the Parties, and by operation of law the other Settlement Class Members, to completely, fully, finally, and forever extinguish any and all Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and Released Defendants’ Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, or heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Parties also acknowledge, and the other Settlement Class Members by operation of law are deemed to acknowledge, that the inclusion of “Unknown Claims” in the definition of the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and the Released Defendants’ Claims was separately bargained for and is a key element of the Settlement.

26. The Judgment will also provide that, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants and each of the other Released Defendant Parties, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns in their capacities as such, will have fully, finally and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged any and all Released Defendants’ Claims (as defined in ¶ 27 below) against Lead Plaintiff and other Released Plaintiff Parties (as defined in ¶ 28 below), and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Defendants’ Claims against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties.

27. “Released Defendants’ Claims” means any and all Claims, including Unknown Claims (as defined below), that have been or could have been asserted in the Action, or in any court, tribunal, forum, or proceeding, by Defendants or any of their respective successors and assigns against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties, that arise out of the institution, prosecution, settlement, or dismissal of the Action; provided, however, that the Released Defendants’ Claims shall not include Claims to enforce the Stipulation or the Judgment.

28. “Released Plaintiff Parties” means: (i) Lead Plaintiff and all other Settlement Class Members; (ii) all Settlement Class Members’ past or present, direct affiliates, associates, members, managers, partners, partnerships, investment funds, attorneys (including Class Counsel), subsidiaries, parents, predecessors, and successors; (iii) all officers, directors, employees, and attorneys of any and all of the foregoing persons and entities; and (iv) the legal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest and assigns of any of the foregoing persons and entities.

**HOW DO I PARTICIPATE IN THE SETTLEMENT? WHAT DO I NEED TO DO?**

29. To be eligible for a payment from the proceeds of the Settlement, you must be a member of the Settlement Class and you must timely complete and submit the Claim Form with adequate

supporting documentation: (i) online using the electronic version of the Claim Form hosted at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com) no later than [DATE]; (ii) by email to [info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com](mailto:info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com) no later than [DATE]; or (iii) by first-class mail to *DraftKings NFT Settlement*, c/o A.B. Data, P.O. Box. 173039, Milwaukee, WI 53217 so that it is received no later than [DATE]. A Claim Form is included with this Notice, or you may obtain one from the website maintained by the Claims Administrator for the Settlement, [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com), or you may request that a Claim Form be mailed to you by calling the Claims Administrator toll free at (877) 883-9186. Please retain all records of your ownership of and transactions in DraftKings NFTs, as they may be needed to document your Claim. If you request exclusion from the Settlement Class or do not submit a timely and valid Claim Form, you will not be eligible to share in the Net Settlement Fund.

#### HOW MUCH WILL MY PAYMENT BE?

30. At this time, it is not possible to make any determination as to how much any individual Settlement Class Member may receive from the Settlement.

31. Pursuant to the Settlement, Defendants have agreed to pay or caused to be paid ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) in cash. The Settlement Amount will be deposited into an escrow account. The Settlement Amount plus any interest earned thereon is referred to as the “Settlement Fund.” If the Settlement is approved by the Court and the Effective Date occurs, the “Net Settlement Fund” (that is, the Settlement Fund less: (a) all federal, state, and/or local taxes on any income earned by the Settlement Fund and the reasonable costs incurred in connection with determining the amount of and paying taxes owed by the Settlement Fund (including reasonable expenses of tax attorneys and accountants); (b) the costs and expenses incurred in connection with providing notice to Settlement Class Members and administering the Settlement on behalf of Settlement Class Members; (c) any attorneys’ fees and Litigation Expenses awarded by the Court; and (d) any other costs or fees approved by the Court including Service Awards) will be distributed to Settlement Class Members who submit valid Claim Forms, in accordance with the proposed Plan of Allocation or such other plan of allocation as the Court may approve.

32. The Net Settlement Fund will not be distributed unless and until the Court has approved the Settlement and a plan of allocation, and the time for any petition for rehearing, appeal, or review, whether by certiorari or otherwise, has expired.

33. Neither Defendants nor any other person or entity that paid any portion of the Settlement Amount on their behalf are entitled to get back any portion of the Settlement Fund once the Court’s order or judgment approving the Settlement becomes Final. Defendants shall not have any liability, obligation, or responsibility for the administration of the Settlement, the disbursement of the Net Settlement Fund, or the plan of allocation.

34. Approval of the Settlement is independent from approval of a plan of allocation. Any determination with respect to a plan of allocation will not affect the Settlement, if approved.

35. Unless the Court otherwise orders, any Settlement Class Member who fails to submit a Claim Form online, by email, or by mail postmarked on or before [DATE] shall be fully and forever barred from receiving payments pursuant to the Settlement, but will in all other respects remain a Settlement Class Member and be subject to the provisions of the Stipulation, including the terms of any Judgment entered and the releases given. This means that each Settlement Class

Member releases the Released Plaintiffs' Claims (as defined in ¶ 23 above) against Released Defendant Parties (as defined in ¶ 24 above) and will be enjoined and prohibited from filing, prosecuting, or pursuing any of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of Released Defendant Parties whether or not such Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form.

36. The Court has reserved jurisdiction to allow, disallow, or adjust on equitable grounds the Claim of any Settlement Class Member.

37. Each Claimant shall be deemed to have submitted to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to his, her, or its Claim Form.

38. Only Settlement Class Members, *i.e.*, persons and entities who purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held or used DraftKings NFTs during the Settlement Class Period and were damaged as a result of such purchases or acquisitions will be eligible to share in the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund. Persons and entities that are excluded from the Settlement Class by definition or that exclude themselves from the Settlement Class pursuant to request will not be eligible to receive a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund and should not submit Claim Forms.

#### **PROPOSED PLAN OF ALLOCATION**

39. The Plan of Allocation set forth below is the plan for the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund that is being proposed by Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel to the Court for approval. The Court may approve this Plan of Allocation or modify it without additional notice to the Settlement Class. Any order modifying the Plan of Allocation will be posted on the Settlement website at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com).

40. The objective of the Plan of Allocation is to equitably distribute the Settlement proceeds to those Settlement Class Members who suffered economic losses as a proximate result of Defendants' alleged wrongdoing. The calculations made pursuant to the Plan of Allocation are not intended to be estimates of, nor indicative of, the amounts that Settlement Class Members might have been able to recover after a trial. Nor are the calculations pursuant to the Plan of Allocation intended to be estimates of the amounts that will be paid to Authorized Claimants pursuant to the Settlement. The computations under the Plan of Allocation are only a method to weigh the claims of Authorized Claimants against one another for the purposes of making *pro rata* allocations of the Net Settlement Fund.

41. Based on the formulas set forth below, an "Individual Recognized Loss" or "IR" and a "Pro Rata Share of the Net Settlement Fund" or "PR" shall be calculated by the Claims Administrator for each individual Class Member's recognized loss during the Class Period. Solely to assist in the dissemination of notice, DraftKings shall use reasonable efforts to provide Class Counsel and the Settlement Administrator with information necessary to determine each Class Member's IR and PR, including each known Class Member's name, contact information, and account and transaction information. Each Class Member identified in DraftKings' records will be sent their estimated distribution amount in advance of distribution so they are able to review the amount and make any necessary inquiries prior to Class Counsel's request for an Order allowing Distribution of the Net Settlement Fund.

42. First, the IR shall be calculated by adding the individual Claimants': (i) "Primary Market Payments" or "PP," which is the aggregate amount the Class Member paid for all purchases of all NFTs from DraftKings in the primary market or "drops;" and (ii) "Secondary Market Payments" or "SP," which is the aggregate amount the Class Member paid for all purchases of NFTs in the

secondary market or the “Marketplace.” The Claims Administrator will then subtract any: (a) “Secondary Market Receipts” or “SR,” which is the aggregate amount received by the Class Member for all sales of NFTs in the secondary market; (b) “Closure Receipts” or “CP,” which is the aggregate amount the Class Member received from DraftKings in connection with its Marketplace shutdown offer; and/or (c) “Prize Receipts” or “PR,” which is the amount the Class Member received from DraftKings in prize contests.

$$\mathbf{IR = [PP + SP] - [SR + CR + PR]^2}$$

43. Next, to determine the Class Member’s PR, the Claims Administrator will divide the IR (i.e., that particular Class Member’s recognized loss) by the “Aggregate Recognized Loss” or “AR,” (i.e., all Class Member’s recognized losses combined) with the resulting percentage multiplied against the “Net Settlement Fund” or “NF” (i.e., the balance remaining in the Settlement Fund after the payment of: (i) any and all Notice Costs; (ii) any and all Administration Costs; (iii) any and all Taxes; (iv) any Fee and Expense Award; (v) any Service Award; and (vi) any other fees, costs, or expenses approved by the Court).

$$\mathbf{PR = [IR/AR] \times NF}$$

44. To participate in the distribution, Authorized Claimants must be entitled to a distribution payment of at least \$5.00. If any funds remain in the Net Settlement Fund after the initial distribution, then the remaining balance of the Net Settlement Fund six (6) months after the initial distribution shall be used: (i) first, to pay any amounts mistakenly omitted from the initial distribution to Authorized Claimants who will receive at least a \$5.00 payment; (ii) second, to pay any additional Notice Costs and Administration Costs incurred in administering the Settlement; and (iii) finally, to make a second distribution to Authorized Claimants who would receive at least \$5.00 from such second distribution, after payment of the estimated costs or fees to be incurred in administering the Net Settlement Fund and in making this second distribution, if such second distribution is economically feasible. Six (6) months after such second distribution, if undertaken, or if such second distribution is not undertaken, any funds remaining in the Net Settlement Fund shall be contributed to a cy pres recipient agreed on between the Parties and approved by the Court.

45. Payment pursuant to the Plan of Allocation, or such other plan of allocation as may be approved by the Court, shall be conclusive against all Claimants. No person shall have any claim against Lead Plaintiff, Class Counsel, Lead Plaintiff’s consulting expert, Defendants, Defendants’ Counsel, any of the other Releasees, or the Claims Administrator or the agent designated by Class Counsel arising from distributions made substantially in accordance with the Stipulation, the Plan of Allocation approved by the Court, or further Orders from the Court. Lead Plaintiff, Defendants, their respective counsel, and all other Releasees shall have no responsibility or liability whatsoever for the investment or distribution of the Settlement Fund, the Net Settlement Fund, the Plan of Allocation, or the determination, administration, calculation, or payment of any Claim Form or nonperformance of the Claims Administrator, the payment or withholding of Taxes owed by the Settlement Fund, or any losses incurred in connection therewith.

---

<sup>2</sup> Should the resulting IR be a negative number, the Class Member’s IR would be adjusted to \$0 and the Class Member would not be eligible for a distribution from the Settlement Fund.

**WHAT PAYMENT ARE THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE SETTLEMENT CLASS SEEKING?  
HOW WILL THE LAWYERS BE PAID?**

46. Class Counsel have not received any payment for their services in pursuing claims against the Defendants on behalf of the Settlement Class, nor has Class Counsel been reimbursed for its out-of-pocket expenses. Before final approval of the Settlement, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees for all Class Counsel in an amount not to exceed one-third of the Settlement Fund. At the same time, Class Counsel also intends to apply for reimbursement of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed \$100,000, which may include an application for reimbursement of the reasonable costs and expenses incurred by Lead Plaintiff directly related to its representation of the Settlement Class in an amount not to exceed \$50,000. The Court will determine the amount of any Fee and Expense Award. Such sums as may be approved by the Court will be paid from the Settlement Fund. Settlement Class Members are not personally liable for any such fees or expenses.

**WHAT IF I DO NOT WANT TO BE A MEMBER OF THE SETTLEMENT CLASS?  
HOW DO I EXCLUDE MYSELF?**

47. Each Settlement Class Member will be bound by all determinations and judgments in this lawsuit, whether favorable or unfavorable, unless such person or entity mails or delivers a written Request for Exclusion from the Settlement Class, addressed to *DraftKings NFT Settlement*, EXCLUSIONS, c/o A.B. Data, P.O. Box. 173039, Milwaukee, WI 53217. The exclusion request must be **received** no later than [DATE]. You will not be able to exclude yourself from the Settlement Class after that date. Each Request for Exclusion must: (a) state the name, address, and telephone number of the person or entity requesting exclusion, and in the case of entities, the name and telephone number of the appropriate contact person; (b) state that such person or entity "requests exclusion from the Settlement Class in *Dufoe v. DraftKings, et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524 (D. Mass.)"; (c) identify and state the DraftKings NFTs that the person requesting exclusion purchased/acquired and/or sold during the Settlement Class Period (*i.e.*, from August 11, 2021 through and inclusive of the date of entry of the Judgment), as well as the dates and prices of each such purchase/acquisition and/or sale; and (d) be signed by the person or entity requesting exclusion or an authorized representative. A request for exclusion shall not be valid and effective unless it provides all the information called for in this paragraph and is received within the time stated above, or is otherwise accepted by the Court.

48. If you do not want to be part of the Settlement Class, you must follow these instructions for exclusion even if you have a pending proceeding, or later file another lawsuit, arbitration, or other proceeding relating to any Released Plaintiffs' Claim against any of the Released Defendant Parties.

49. If you ask to be excluded from the Settlement Class, you will not be eligible to receive any payment out of the Net Settlement Fund.

50. Defendants have the right to terminate the Settlement in the event that collective requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class by Settlement Class Members meet the conditions set forth in Defendants' confidential supplemental agreement with Lead Plaintiff, in accordance with the terms of that agreement.



**WHEN AND WHERE WILL THE COURT DECIDE WHETHER TO APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT? DO I HAVE TO COME TO THE HEARING? MAY I SPEAK AT THE HEARING IF I DO NOT LIKE THE SETTLEMENT?**

**51. Settlement Class Members do not need to attend the Settlement Hearing. The Court will consider any submission made in accordance with the provisions below even if a Settlement Class Member does not attend the hearing. You can participate in the Settlement without attending the Settlement Hearing.**

52. The Settlement Hearing is currently scheduled to be held on [DATE] at [ ] : [ ] .m., before the Honorable Denise J. Casper at the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. The Court reserves the right to approve the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, Class Counsel's motion for Fee and Expense Award and a Service Award, and/or any other matter related to the Settlement, at or after the Settlement Hearing without further notice to the members of the Settlement Class. The Court also reserves the right to hold the Settlement Hearing telephonically or via videoconference.

53. Any Settlement Class Member who or which does not request exclusion may object to the Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel's motion for a Fee and Expense Award and a Service Award. Objections must be in writing. You must file any written objection, together with copies of all other papers and briefs supporting the objection, with the Clerk's Office at the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts at the address set forth below on or before [DATE]. You must also serve the papers on Class Counsel and on Defendants' Counsel at the addresses set forth below so that the papers are *received* on or before [DATE].

<u>Clerk's Office</u>	<u>Lead Counsel</u>	<u>Defendants' Counsel</u>
United States District Court District of Massachusetts Clerk of the Court 1 Courthouse Way Boston, MA 02210	<b>Kirby McInerney LLP</b> Anthony F. Fata, Esq. 211 West Wacker Dr., Suite 550 Chicago, IL 60606	<b>Sullivan &amp; Cromwell LLP</b> Brian T. Frawley, Esq. 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004

54. Any objection must: (a) state the name, address, and telephone number of the person or entity objecting and must be signed by the objector; (b) contain a statement of the Settlement Class Member's objection or objections, and the specific reasons for each objection, including any legal and evidentiary support the Settlement Class Member wishes to bring to the Court's attention; and (c) include documents sufficient to prove membership in the Settlement Class, including the DraftKings NFTs that the person requesting exclusion purchased/acquired and/or sold during the Settlement Class Period (*i.e.*, from August 11, 2021 through and inclusive of the date of entry of the Judgment), as well as the dates and prices of each such purchase/acquisition and/or sale. You may not object to the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel's motion for Fee and Expense Award or a Service Award if you exclude yourself from the Settlement Class or if you are not a member of the Settlement Class.

55. You may file a written objection without having to appear at the Settlement Hearing. You may not, however, appear at the Settlement Hearing to present your objection unless you first file and serve a written objection in accordance with the procedures described above, unless the Court orders otherwise.

56. If you wish to be heard orally at the hearing in opposition to the approval of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel's motion for a Fee and Expense Award or a Service Award, and if you timely file and serve a written objection as described above, you must also file a notice of appearance with the Clerk's Office and serve it on Class Counsel and Defendants' Counsel at the addresses set forth above so that it is *received on or before* [DATE]. Persons who intend to object and desire to present evidence at the Settlement Hearing must include in their written objection or notice of appearance the identity of any witnesses they may call to testify and exhibits they intend to introduce into evidence at the hearing. Such persons may be heard orally at the discretion of the Court.

57. You are not required to hire an attorney to represent you in making written objections or in appearing at the Settlement Hearing. However, if you decide to hire an attorney, it will be at your own expense, and that attorney must file a notice of appearance with the Court and serve it on Lead Counsel and Defendants' Counsel at the addresses set forth in ¶ 52 above so that the notice is *received on or before* [DATE].

58. The Settlement Hearing may be adjourned by the Court, or held telephonically or via videoconference, without further written notice to the Settlement Class. If you intend to attend the Settlement Hearing, you should confirm the date, time, and location on the settlement website [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com), or with Lead Counsel.

**59. Unless the Court orders otherwise, any Settlement Class Member who does not object in the manner described above will be deemed to have waived any objection and shall be forever foreclosed from making any objection to the proposed Settlement, the proposed Plan of Allocation, or Class Counsel's motion for a Fee and Expense Award or a Service Award. Settlement Class Members do not need to appear at the Settlement Hearing or take any other action to indicate their approval.**

**CAN I SEE THE COURT FILE? WHOM SHOULD I CONTACT IF I HAVE QUESTIONS?**

60. This Notice contains only a summary of the terms of the proposed Settlement. For more detailed information about the matters involved in this Action, you are referred to the papers on file in the Action, including the Stipulation, which may be inspected during regular office hours at the Office of the Clerk, United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, 1 Courthouse Way, Boston, Massachusetts 02210. Additionally, copies of the Stipulation and any related orders entered by the Court will be posted on the website maintained by the Claims Administrator, [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com).

61. All inquiries concerning this Notice and the Claim Form should be directed to:

*DraftKings NFT Settlement*  
c/o A.B. Data  
P.O. Box 173039  
Milwaukee, WI 53217  
www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com  
info@draftkingsnftsettlement.com

and/or

**Kirby McInerney LLP**  
Anthony F. Fata, Esq.  
211 West Wacker Dr., Suite 550  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312) 767-5180  
afata@kmlp.com

**DO NOT CALL OR WRITE THE COURT, THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF  
THE COURT, DEFENDANTS, OR THEIR COUNSEL REGARDING THIS  
NOTICE.**

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2025

By Order of the Court  
United States District Court  
District of Massachusetts

# **EXHIBIT A-3**

**PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM**

IF YOU PURCHASED, SOLD, HELD, OR OTHERWISE TRANSACTED IN NFTS IN A DRAFTKINGS ACCOUNT FROM AUGUST 11, 2021 THROUGH AND INCLUSIVE OF THE DATE OF ENTRY OF THE JUDGMENT (THE “SETTLEMENT CLASS PERIOD”), INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, MARKETPLACE NFTS, YOU ARE A “SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER” AND YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO SHARE IN THE SETTLEMENT PROCEEDS. (EXCLUDED FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS ARE: (i) DEFENDANTS; (ii) DRAFTKINGS’ OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS DURING THE CLASS PERIOD; (iii) THE IMMEDIATE FAMILY OF DEFENDANTS AND THEIR LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES, HEIRS, SUCCESSORS, OR ASSIGNS; AND (iv) ANY ENTITY IN WHICH DEFENDANTS HAVE OR HAD A CONTROLLING INTEREST. ALSO EXCLUDED FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS ARE THOSE PERSONS WHO ARE FOUND BY THE COURT TO HAVE TIMELY AND VALIDLY SUBMITTED A REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION FROM THE SETTLEMENT CLASS THAT IS ACCEPTED BY THE COURT).

IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER, IN ORDER TO SHARE IN THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE NET SETTLEMENT FUND, **YOU MUST COMPLETE AND SUBMIT THIS PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM (“CLAIM FORM”) ONLINE USING THE ELECTRONIC VERSION OF THIS CLAIM FORM HOSTED AT WWW.DRAFTKINGSNFTSETTLEMENT.COM, SUBMIT IT VIA EMAIL TO INFO@DRAFTKINGSNFTSETTLEMENT.COM, OR MAIL IT BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL TO P.O. BOX 173039, MILWAUKEE, WI 53217. IF SUBMITTED ONLINE OR VIA EMAIL, IT MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN [DATE]. IF SUBMITTED BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, IT MUST BE POSTMARKED NO LATER THAN [DATE].**

YOUR FAILURE TO SUBMIT YOUR CLAIM BY [DATE], WILL SUBJECT YOUR CLAIM TO REJECTION AND MAY PRECLUDE YOU FROM RECEIVING ANY MONEY IN CONNECTION WITH THE SETTLEMENT OF THIS ACTION. IF YOU ARE A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER AND DO NOT SUBMIT A PROPER CLAIM FORM, YOU WILL NOT SHARE IN THE SETTLEMENT BUT YOU NEVERTHELESS WILL BE BOUND BY THE SETTLEMENT (INCLUDING ITS RELEASE OF CLAIMS), THE COURT’S ORDER, AND FINAL JUDGMENT UNLESS YOU EXCLUDE YOURSELF. SUBMISSION OF A CLAIM FORM DOES NOT GUARANTEE THAT YOU WILL SHARE IN THE PROCEEDS OF THE SETTLEMENT.

**CLAIMANT’S STATEMENT**

1. I (We) purchased or acquired DraftKings NFTs during the Settlement Class Period. (Do not submit this Proof of Claim and Release Form if you did not purchase DraftKings NFTs during the Settlement Class Period).
2. By submitting this Proof of Claim and Release Form, I (we) believe in good faith that I am (we are) a Settlement Class Member(s) as defined above and in the Notice of Pendency

and Proposed Settlement of Class Action, Settlement Hearing, and Right to Appear (the “Notice”), or am (are) acting for such person(s); that I am (we are) not a Defendant in the Action or anyone excluded from the Settlement Class; that I (we) have read and understand the Notice; and that I (we) believe that I am (we are) entitled to receive a share of the Net Settlement Fund, as defined in the Notice; that I (we) elect to participate in the proposed Settlement described in the Notice; and that I (we) have not filed a request for exclusion. (If you are acting in a representative capacity on behalf of a Settlement Class Member [e.g., as an executor, administrator, trustee, or other representative], you must submit evidence of your current authority to act on behalf of that Settlement Class Member. Such evidence would include, for example, letters testamentary, letters of administration, or a copy of the trust documents).

3. I (We) consent to the jurisdiction of the Court with respect to all questions concerning the validity of this Claim Form (“Claim Form”). I (we) understand and agree that my (our) claim may be subject to investigation and discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, provided that such investigation and discovery shall be limited to my (our) status as a Settlement Class Member(s) and the validity and amount of my (our) claim. No discovery shall be allowed on the merits of the Action or Settlement in connection with processing of the Claim Form.
4. I (We) have provided the identification number assigned by the Claims Administrator.
5. I (We) understand that the information contained in this Claim Form is subject to such verification as the Claims Administrator may request or as the Court may direct, and I (we) agree to cooperate in any such verification. (The information requested herein is designed to provide the minimum amount of information necessary to process most simple claims. The Claims Administrator may request additional information as required to calculate your Recognized Loss efficiently and reliably. In some cases, the Claims Administrator may condition acceptance of the claim based upon the production of additional information).
6. Upon occurrence of the Court’s approval of the Settlement, as detailed in the Notice, I (we) agree and acknowledge that my (our) signature(s) hereto shall effect and constitute a full and complete release, remise, and discharge by me (us) and my (our) heirs, joint tenants, tenants in common, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, attorneys, insurers, and assigns (or, if I am (we are) submitting this Claim Form on behalf of a corporation, a partnership, estate, or one or more other persons, by it, him, her, or them, and by its, his, hers, or their heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns) of each of the “Released Defendant Parties” or “Released Plaintiff Parties” of all “Released Claims,” as those terms are defined in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated February 26, 2025 (“Stipulation”).
7. Upon the occurrence of the Court’s approval of the Settlement, as detailed in the Notice, I (we) agree and acknowledge that my (our) signature(s) hereto shall effect and constitute a covenant by me (us) and my (our) heirs, joint tenants, tenants in common, beneficiaries, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, attorneys, insurers, and assigns (or, if

I am (we are) submitting this Claim Form on behalf of a corporation, a partnership, estate, or one or more other persons, by it, him, her, or them, and by its, his, hers, or their heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns) to permanently refrain from prosecuting or attempting to prosecute any Released Claims against any of the Released Defendant Parties or Released Plaintiff Parties.

8. I (We) acknowledge that “Released Defendant Parties” and “Released Plaintiff Parties” have the meanings laid out in the Stipulation.
9. I (We) acknowledge that “Released Claims” has the meaning laid out in the Stipulation.
10. I (We) acknowledge that “Unknown Claims” has the meaning laid out in the Stipulation.
11. I (We) acknowledge that the inclusion of “Unknown Claims” in the definition of claims released pursuant to the Stipulation was separately bargained for and is a material element of the Settlement of which this release is a part.

**I. CLAIMANT INFORMATION**

Beneficial Owner Name:

Address 1 (street name and number)

Address 2 (apartment, unit or box number)

City

State

Zip Code

--	--	--

Foreign Country (only if not USA)

Last four digits of Social Security Number or Taxpayer Identification Number

Telephone Number (home)

Telephone Number (work)

--	--

Email address:

Social Security Number (for individuals):

Taxpayer Identification Number (for estates, trusts, corporations, etc.)

	OR	
--	----	--

Identification Number Assigned by Claims Administrator:

## II. FORM OF PAYMENT

Settlement payments may be sent to you digitally via email. Please provide a current, valid email address and mobile phone number on your Claim Form. If the email address or mobile phone number you include with your submission becomes invalid for any reason, it is your responsibility to provide accurate contact information to the Settlement Administrator to receive a payment. When you receive the email and/or mobile phone text notifying you of your Settlement payment, you will be provided with a number of digital payment options, such as PayPal or a virtual debit card, to immediately receive your Settlement payment. At that time, you will also have the option to request a paper check.

## III. CERTIFICATION

I (We) submit this Proof of Claim and Release Form under the terms of the Settlement Stipulation described in the Notice. I (We) also submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts with respect to my (our) claim as a Settlement Class Member(s) and for purposes of enforcing the release and covenant not to sue set forth herein. I (We) further acknowledge that I am (we are) bound by and subject to the terms of any judgment that may be entered in this Action. I (We) have not submitted any other claim covering the same purchases or acquisitions of DraftKings NFTs during the Settlement Class Period and know of no other Person having done so on my (our) behalf.

I (We) certify that I am (we are) NOT subject to backup withholding under the provisions of Section 3406(a)(1)(c) of the Internal Revenue Code because: (a) I am (we are) exempt from backup withholding; or (b) I (we) have not been notified by the I.R.S. that I am (we are) subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends; or (c) the I.R.S. has notified me (us) that I am (we are) no longer subject to backup withholding.

**NOTE: If you have been notified by the I.R.S. that you are subject to backup withholding, please strike out the language that you are not subject to backup withholding in the certification above.**

UNDER THE PENALTIES OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, I (WE) CERTIFY THAT ALL OF THE INFORMATION I (WE) PROVIDED ON THIS PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM IS TRUE, CORRECT, AND COMPLETE.

Signature of Claimant (If this claim is being made on behalf of Joint Claimants, then each must sign):

---

(Signature)



(Signature)

---

(Capacity of person(s) signing, e.g. beneficial purchaser(s), executor, administrator, trustee, etc.)  
Check here if proof of authority to file is enclosed.  
(See Item 2 under Claimant's Statement)

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**THIS PROOF OF CLAIM AND RELEASE FORM MUST BE SUBMITTED BY  
[DATE] TO RECEIVE CASH BENEFITS FROM THIS SETTLEMENT.**

A Claim Form shall be deemed to have been submitted when it is actually received by the Claims Administrator. The Claims Administrator will acknowledge receipt of your Claim Form by emailing confirmation if the claim was submitted electronically or mailing confirmation if the claim was submitted by first-class mail. Your claim is not deemed filed until you receive such an acknowledgement.

You should be aware that it will take a significant amount of time to process fully all of the Claim Forms and administer the Settlement. This work will be completed as promptly as time permits, given the need to investigate and tabulate each Claim Form. Please notify the Claims Administrator of any change in email address or mailing address.

# **EXHIBIT A-4**

**EXHIBIT A-4**

*Have you purchased, sold, or held DraftKings NFTs?*

**If you purchased, sold, held, or otherwise transacted in DraftKings NFTs at any point from August 11, 2021 through and inclusive of the date of entry of the judgment, you should read this Notice of Class Action Settlement as it may impact your legal rights.**

*A court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation.*

**You must file a Claim Form by [DATE] to receive cash benefits from this Settlement. To file a Claim, please visit the website, [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com).**

A Settlement has been reached with DraftKings Inc. and certain of its officers (“DraftKings”) in *Dufoe v. DraftKings*, No. 1:23-cv-10524 (D. Mass.), a class action lawsuit (the “Action”) alleging that NFTs purchased, sold, held, or otherwise transacted on the DraftKings Marketplace constitute securities that were not registered in accordance with federal and state law. The Action also alleges that the DraftKings Marketplace is a securities exchange and DraftKings acts as a securities broker when transacting in NFTs, neither of which were registered as required by federal and state law. DraftKings denies all allegations of wrongdoing.

Your options are: (1) stay in the class and submit a claim; (2) ask to be excluded from the class (opt out); or (3) object to the Settlement.

The Court has appointed Class Counsel to represent you and will decide whether to approve the Settlement at a Final Approval Hearing currently scheduled for [DATE]. That date is subject to change without further notice. A current date of the Final Approval Hearing can be found on the Settlement Website.

Class Counsel may ask the Court for an award of attorneys’ fees up to one-third of the \$10 million Settlement Amount, and costs not to exceed \$100,000, as well as a Service Award not to exceed \$50,000 for Lead Plaintiff. The Court will determine the amounts to be paid, which will come from the Settlement Amount.

To learn more about your options or to contact Class Counsel, you may visit the Settlement Website at [www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com](http://www.draftkingsnftsettlement.com) or call (877) 883-9186. The deadline to file a claim, opt out, or object is [DATE].

**PLEASE DO NOT CONTACT THE COURT OR THE COURT CLERK’S OFFICE REGARDING THIS NOTICE, THIS SETTLEMENT, OR THE CLAIMS PROCESS.**

# **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JUSTIN DUFOE, on Behalf of Himself  
and All Others Similarly Situated,

Lead Plaintiff,

v.

DRAFTKINGS INC., JASON D.  
ROBINS, JASON K. PARK, and  
MATTHEW KALISH,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER AND FINAL JUDGMENT**

WHEREAS, a putative securities action is pending in this Court entitled *Justin Dufoe v. DraftKings Inc., et al.*, No. 1:23-cv-10524-DJC (the “Action”);

WHEREAS, Lead Plaintiff Justin Dufoe (“Lead Plaintiff”) on behalf of himself and other members of the Settlement Class, and (ii) Defendants DraftKings Inc. (“DraftKings”), Jason D. Robins, Jason K. Park, and Matthew Kalish (together with DraftKings, “Defendants”) have entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated February 26, 2025 (the “Stipulation”), that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court (the “Settlement”);

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meanings as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2025 (the “Preliminary Approval Order”), this Court: (a) found, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B), that it (i) would likely be able to approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate under Rule 23(e)(2), and (ii) would likely be able to

**EXHIBIT B**

certify the Settlement Class for purposes of the Settlement; (b) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Settlement Class Members; (c) provided Settlement Class Members with the opportunity either to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class or to object to the proposed Settlement; and (d) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Settlement Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on \_\_\_\_\_, 2025 (the “Settlement Hearing”) to consider, among other things: (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against the Defendants; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

1. **Definitions** – Capitalized terms not defined in this Order and Final Judgment have the meaning set forth in the Stipulation (certain of which are repeated here for ease of reference only).

2. **Jurisdiction** – The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Parties and each of the Settlement Class Members.

3. **Incorporation of Settlement Documents** – This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on February 26, 2025; and (b) the Email

**EXHIBIT B**

Notice, Long-Form Notice, and the Publication Notice, both of which were filed with the Court on February 26, 2025.

4. **Class Certification for Settlement Purposes** – The Court hereby certifies for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and (b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the Settlement Class consisting of all persons or entities who purchased, acquired, sold, disposed of, owned, held, used, or otherwise transacted in non-fungible tokens (“NFTs”) in an account at DraftKings during the Class Period, including, without limitation, Marketplace NFTs. Excluded from the Class are: (i) Defendants; (ii) DraftKings’ officers and directors during the Class Period; (iii) the Immediate Family of Defendants and their legal representatives, heirs, successors, or assigns; and (iv) any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. Also excluded from the Settlement Class are those persons who are found by the Court to have timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Class.

5. **Settlement Class Findings** – For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that each element required for certification of the Settlement Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure has been met: (a) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that their joinder in the Action would be impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of Lead Plaintiff in the Action are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel have and will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the claims of the Settlement Class in the Action.

**EXHIBIT B**

6. **Adequacy of Representation** – Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and for the purposes of the Settlement only, the Court hereby appoints Lead Plaintiff as Class Representative for the Settlement Class, and appoints the law firms of Kirby McInerney LLP, Berman Tabacco, Hannafan & Hannafan, Ltd., and G. Dowd Law LLC as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class. Lead Plaintiff and Class Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the Settlement Class both in terms of litigating the Action and for purposes of entering into and implementing the Settlement and have satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(a)(4) and 23(g), respectively.

7. **Sufficiency of Notice** – The form, manner, and content of the Email Notice, Long-Form Notice, and Publication Notice were the best notice practicable under the circumstances, notice was provided to the Settlement Class in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order, and that the notice in all respects satisfied due process, provided adequate information to the Settlement Class of all matters relating to the Settlement, and fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2) and (e)(1), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, Massachusetts law, and all other applicable law and rules.

8. **CAFA** – The Court finds that the notice requirements set forth in the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715 *et seq.*, to the extent applicable to the Action, have been satisfied.

9. Lead Plaintiff shall be paid a \$XXXXXX Service Award from the Settlement Fund in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.

10. Class Counsel shall be paid \$XXXXXX in attorneys' fees and \$XXXX in Litigation Expenses in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation.



**EXHIBIT B**

11. The Settlement Administrator, A.B. Data, Ltd., shall be paid \$XXXXXX in Notice Costs and Administration Costs in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation. The Settlement Administrator shall disburse the Settlement Fund in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment.

12. **Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims** – Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation, the amount of the Settlement, the Releases provided for therein, and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Class.

13. The Action and all of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action by Lead Plaintiff and the other Settlement Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice. The Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.

14. **Binding Effect** – The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on Defendants, Lead Plaintiff, and all other Settlement Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Settlement Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns. The persons and entities listed on Schedule 1 hereto are excluded from the Settlement Class pursuant to request and are not bound by the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.

15. **Releases** – The Releases set forth in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto (certain of which are repeated herein), are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:

**EXHIBIT B**

(a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 16 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Lead Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves and the Released Plaintiff Parties and any other person or entity legally entitled to bring Released Plaintiffs' Claims on behalf of a Settlement Class Member, in their capacities as such, and by operation of law and of this Judgment, shall thereupon be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged the Released Defendant Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, instigating, or continuing to prosecute, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of, any Released Plaintiffs' Claims, either directly or indirectly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any and all of the Released Defendant Parties.

(b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 16 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, each of Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their personal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns, in their capacities as such, and by operation of law and of this Judgment, shall thereupon be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged the Released Plaintiff Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Defendants' Claims, and shall thereupon be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, or prosecuting any of the Released Defendants' Claims against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties.

16. Notwithstanding paragraphs 15(a) through (b) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.

**EXHIBIT B**

17. **Express Release of Unknown Claims** – Regarding the Released Claims, the Parties and the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have waived all provisions, rights, and benefits conferred by any law of the United States, any law of any state, or principle of common law which governs or limits a person’s release of Unknown Claims to the fullest extent permitted by law, and to have relinquished, to the full extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE AND THAT, IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

18. “Unknown Claims” means any Released Plaintiffs’ Claims that the Released Plaintiff Parties do not know or suspect to exist in his, her, its, or their favor at the time of the release of the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims, and any Released Defendants’ Claims that any Defendant does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, its, or their favor at the time of the release of the Released Defendants’ Claims, including those which, if known by him, her, it, or them, might have affected his, her, its, or their decision(s) with respect to the Settlement. The Parties have acknowledged, and the other Settlement Class Members by operation of law are deemed to acknowledge, that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true with respect to the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and the Released Defendants’ Claims, but that it is the intention of the Parties, and by operation of law the other Settlement Class Members, to completely, fully, finally, and forever extinguish any and all Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and Released Defendants’ Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, or heretofore existed, or may hereafter exist, and without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts. The Parties also have acknowledged, and the other

**EXHIBIT B**

Settlement Class Members by operation of law are deemed to acknowledge, that the inclusion of “Unknown Claims” in the definition of the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims and the Released Defendants’ Claims was separately bargained for and is a key element of the Settlement.

19. **Rule 11 Findings** – The Court finds and concludes that the Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.

20. **Bar Order** – Upon the Effective Date, Lead Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members, on behalf of themselves and the Released Plaintiff Parties and any other person or entity legally entitled to bring Released Plaintiffs’ Claims on behalf of a Settlement Class Member, in their capacities as such, and by operation of law and of this Judgment, shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged the Released Defendant Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Plaintiffs’ Claims, and shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting, instigating, or continuing to prosecute, or in any way participating in the commencement or prosecution of, any Released Plaintiffs’ Claims, either directly or indirectly, representatively, derivatively, or in any other capacity, against any and all of the Released Defendant Parties. Upon the Effective Date, each of Defendants, on behalf of themselves and their personal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns, in their capacities as such, and by operation of law and of this Judgment, shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, and discharged the Released Plaintiff Parties from and with respect to every one of the Released Defendants’ Claims, and shall be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, or prosecuting any of the Released Defendants’ Claims against any of the Released Plaintiff Parties.

**EXHIBIT B**

21. **Order and Final Judgment Not Conditioned on Fee and Expense Award or Service Award** – No proceedings or court order with respect to (i) the award of attorneys’ fees and expenses to Class Counsel or (ii) any incentive or service award to Lead Plaintiff shall in any way disturb or affect this Judgment (including precluding this Judgment from being Final or otherwise being entitled to preclusive effect), and any such proceedings or court order shall be considered separate from this Judgment.

22. **Modification of the Agreement of Settlement** – Without further approval from the Court, Lead Plaintiff and Defendants are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially limit the rights of Settlement Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the Court, Lead Plaintiff and Defendants may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out any provisions of the Settlement.

23. **Termination of Settlement** – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the Stipulation or the Effective Date otherwise fails to occur, this Order and Final Judgment and any related orders entered by the Court shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*; the amount of any fees and expenses awarded to Class Counsel shall be refunded to the Settlement Fund within ten (10) business days after such termination; the Settlement Fund and all interest earned thereon—less all Notice Costs and Administration Costs paid, incurred, or due consistent with the Stipulation—shall be returned to DraftKings within fifteen (15) business days; the Stipulation shall be null and void and of no force and effect (except as otherwise provided for in the Stipulation); Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective litigation status immediately prior to October 22, 2024; Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall negotiate a new trial

**EXHIBIT B**

schedule in good faith; Lead Plaintiff and Defendants shall proceed as if the Stipulation had not been executed and the related orders had not been entered; and all of their respective claims and defenses as to any issue in the Action shall be preserved without prejudice in any way.

24. **Settlement Class Members Bound by Settlement** – All Settlement Class Members shall be and are deemed bound by the Stipulation and this Order and Final Judgment. This Order and Final Judgment, including the release of all Released Claims against the Released Plaintiff Parties and the Released Defendant Parties, shall have *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effect in all pending and future lawsuits, arbitrations, or other proceedings maintained by, or on behalf of, Lead Plaintiff or any Settlement Class Members, as well as their respective legal representatives, heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, predecessors-in-interest, successors-in-interest and assigns, and anyone claims through or no behalf of any of them.

25. **No Admissions** – Neither this Order and Final Judgment, the Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), the negotiations leading to the execution of the Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with the Stipulation and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith) shall be deemed or argued to be evidence of or to constitute an admission or concession by: (a) Defendants or any other Released Defendant Parties as to (i) the truth of any fact alleged by Lead Plaintiff, (ii) the validity of any claims or other issues raised, or which might be or might have been raised, in the Action or in any other litigation, (iii) the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any other litigation, or (iv) any wrongdoing, fault, or liability of any kind by any of them, which each of them expressly denies;

**EXHIBIT B**

or (b) Lead Plaintiff that any of their claims are without merit, that any of Defendants had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable from Defendants under the Complaint would not have exceeded the amount of the Settlement Payment. The Released Defendant Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Order and Final Judgment in any action that has been or may be brought against them in order to support a claim or defense based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion.

26. **Entry of Final Judgment** – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is expressly directed to immediately enter this final judgment in this Action.

27. **Retention of Jurisdiction** – The Court retains jurisdiction over the Parties, including Class Members, for the purposes of construing, enforcing, and administering this Order and the Judgment, as well as the Stipulation itself.

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2025.

---

The Honorable Denise J. Casper  
United States District Judge

**Schedule 1**

[List of Persons and Entities Excluded from the Settlement Class Pursuant to Request]



# EXHIBIT 2

**Class  
Action  
Administration**



**Headquarters**  
600 A.B. Data Drive  
Milwaukee, WI 53217  
P: 866-217-4470  
F: 414-961-3099

**New York**  
One Battery Park Plaza  
32<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
P: 646-290-9137

**Washington DC**  
915 15<sup>th</sup> St., NW, Ste. 300  
Washington, DC 20005  
P: 202-618-2900  
F: 202-462-2085

**Florida**  
5080 PGA Boulevard, Ste. 209  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418  
P: 561-336-1801  
F: 561-252-7720


**Israel**  
19 Weissburg Street  
Tel Aviv 69358  
Israel  
P: +972 (3) 720-8782

**London**  
71-75 Shelton Street  
Covent Garden  
London, WC2H 9JQ  
P: +44 20 4586 1892




# CAPABILITIES

## About A.B. Data


 Founded in 1981, **A.B. Data has earned a reputation** for expertly managing the complexities of class action administration in consumer, antitrust, securities, Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) enforcement actions, and ERISA, Attorneys General, employment, civil rights, insurance, environmental, wage and hour, and other class action cases. **A.B. Data's work in all aspects of class action administration** has been perfected by decades of experience in hundreds of class action cases involving billions of dollars in total settlements. Dedicated professionals deliver **A.B. Data's all-inclusive services**, working in partnership with its clients to administer their class action cases effectively, efficiently, and affordably, regardless of size or scope.

**A.B. Data offers unmatched resources and capacity** and is capable of expertly administering any class action notice, settlement, and/or fund administration. Whether notifying millions of class members in the United States or throughout the world, processing millions of claims, distributing payments digitally via A.B. Data's Digital PayPortal<sup>SM</sup>, or printing and distributing millions of checks, **A.B. Data matches its talent and technology** to the specific needs of its clients, delivering unparalleled service on time and on budget without ever compromising quality.

## Location, Ownership Structure

 **A.B. Data is an independently owned**, more than 40-year-old, Milwaukee, Wisconsin-based company that prides itself on its vast expertise and industry-leading innovations. We like to remind our clients and partners that we're not just a class action administration company, but a group of experienced, dedicated professionals who believe that relationships are just as important as the accurate and timely management of class action administrations. In other words, we are people who do business with people.

## Services

 **Every A.B. Data client is deserving of the best job we can put forward.** A.B. Data makes class action administration easy for our clients with clarity, convenience, and efficiency. Our priority is to navigate the intricacies of our clients' matters and deliver successful results by using our solid expertise, advanced technology, and top-quality products and services. We pay attention to the details and get it right the first time.

We aim to provide our clients the full experience of a truly collaborative working relationship. It is why we believe much of our success originates from our philosophy of "people doing business with people."

## Services

### All Digital — From Notice to Distribution

**A.B. Data is uniquely positioned to design, implement, and maintain notice and settlement administration programs** using an innovative, "all-digital" approach that replaces the more traditional and less efficient methods of administration, such as newspaper ads, mailed notices, and paper checks. Many of our recent proposed notice plans and claim programs utilize the latest technologies such as microtargeted digital ads for notice, streamlined online claims, and distributing settlement funds electronically using a digital paywall. These methods provide significant cost savings, are consistent with the amendments to Rule 23 that are now in effect, and importantly provide much-needed alignment of class action notice and administration with current consumer behaviors.

### Pre-Settlement Consultation

**The pre-settlement consultation is a collaborative session** designed to help A.B. Data clients prepare a stronger case. Our support teams simplify the task of sorting through a maze of documents during investigation and discovery, streamlining the process and preserving fund assets. From there, we assist with fully interactive media packages for court presentations and settlement negotiations. A.B. Data works closely with our clients, offering expert testimony on documents, processing, class and notice manageability, and proposed plans of allocation.

### Media Services

**A.B. Data continues to earn our reputation** as the early innovator in integrating advanced micro-targeting techniques, including contextual targeting, behavioral targeting, and predictive modeling. Coupled with inventive digital media strategies to drive claims, case-specific banner ad development, class member research, and comScore analysis services, our multi-tiered media programs are designed to cost-effectively deliver notice to potential class members and increase claims rates.

### Notice Administration

**In A.B. Data, clients have a comprehensive resource** with a depth of experience in direct notice. Our compliance and understanding of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure are crucial in meeting the "plain language" legal requirements for any campaign. From our sophisticated digital media capabilities and extensive global experience with class member research, our experts create notice documents that are easily understandable and cost-efficient to produce. We consult with our clients to deliver notice documents from multi-page, mailed, or emailed notice packets to concise postcards that establish the most influential and cost-effective means of communicating with potential claimants.

## Claims Processing

**A.B. Data continues to bring game-changing technologies** to improve the speed and precision in claims processing. Our robust system for online claims submissions allows us to meticulously verify data and documentation, preserve and authenticate claims, and calculate and verify settlement amounts. In addition, our data network infrastructure includes on-site data storage, backup, contingency plans, and security for electronic and hard copy claim filings. It is all part of a total commitment to be the most innovative and comprehensive resource in the industry. At A.B. Data, we take pride in having the in-house capacity to process millions of pages, as well as the organizational integrity to treat every claim as if it were the only one.

## Contact Center

**A.B. Data's Contact Center is comprised of a full staff** that is trained on and equipped with online and telecommunication systems to monitor and connect with class members. Associates routinely monitor class member communication for all class action administrations, including antitrust, consumer, and securities.

Utilizing monitoring software, associates watch multiple social media channels simultaneously, allowing for instantaneous routing of inquiries and interaction with claimants. Detailed and concise analytical reports outlining Contact Center activities are always provided.

Our Contact Center and case websites are capable of handling millions of class member engagements, as recently displayed in a campaign which garnered over 1.2 million website visits in two months and had more than 72,500 Facebook engagements. Facebook comments and threads are monitored and claimants are guided to the website for more information. Google AdWords and display advertising have also brought hundreds of thousands of visitors to various case websites.

A.B. Data's Contact Center also has Spanish language associates in-house and we can accommodate any language, given proper lead time. Traditional call center facilities are also available, if needed.

## Case Websites

**We offer a state-of-the-art technology platform** that supports every step of our class action administration process. Our expert marketing professionals design customized case-specific websites that provide potential class members easy access to case information, critical documents, important deadlines, as well as the capability to file claim forms and register for future mailings about the case. Claimants can use the website to elect to receive their settlement payments by mail or by one of several digital payment options, all accessible by mobile devices.

## Settlement Fund Distribution

**From complete escrow services to establishment of qualified settlement funds**, check printing and mailing, electronic cash or stock distribution and tax services, A.B. Data has always provided a full-service solution to Settlement Fund Distribution. Our IT team has decades of experience in developing and implementing fast, secure databases and claims administration systems that ensure class members receive the correct amount in their settlement disbursement. Today's digital capabilities allow even greater convenience for class members. In certain instances, claimants can now elect to

instantaneously receive settlement payments through popular digital-payment options, such as PayPal, Amazon, and virtual debit cards.

## A.B. Data's Leadership



A.B. Data's administration team is composed of the following key executives, who collectively have decades of experience settling and administering class actions:

**Bruce A. Arbit, Co-Managing Director** and one of the founders of the A.B. Data Group, serves as Chairman of the Board and oversees the day-to-day operations of the A.B. Data Group of companies, employing almost 400 people in the United States and Israel. Mr. Arbit is also Chairman of the Board of Integrated Mail Industries, Ltd. and has served as a member of the Board of Directors of University National Bank and State Financial Bank. He is the past Chairman of Asset Development Group, Inc., Home Source One, and American Deposit Management and is a member of the National Direct Marketing Association, the Direct Marketing Fundraising Association, and the American Association of Political Consultants. He was named 1996 Direct Marketer of the Year by the Wisconsin Direct Marketing Association.

A.B. Data's work in class action litigation support began with the Court selecting A.B. Data to oversee the restitution effort in the now-famous Swiss Banks Class Action Case, the International Commission on Holocaust Era Insurance Claims, and every other Holocaust Era Asset Restitution program, in which it was the company's job to identify, contact, and inform survivors of the Holocaust. A.B. Data delivered by reaching out to millions of people in 109 countries who spoke more than 30 languages. Since those days, Mr. Arbit has guided the class action division through phenomenal growth and success. Today, A.B. Data manages hundreds of administrations annually that distributes billions of dollars to class members.

**Thomas R. Glenn, President**, Mr. Glenn's management of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Company includes designing and implementing notice plans and settlement administration programs for antitrust, securities, and Securities and Exchange Commission settlements and SEC disgorgement fund distributions, as well as consumer, employment, insurance, and civil rights class actions. Mr. Glenn previously served as Executive Vice President at Rust Consulting and has more than 30 years of executive leadership experience.

**Eric Miller, Senior Vice President**, as a key member of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Leadership Team, oversees the Case Management Department and supervises the operations and procedures of all of A.B. Data's class action administration cases. Mr. Miller is recognized in the class action administration industry as an expert on securities, SEC, consumer, product recall, product liability, general antitrust, pharmaceutical antitrust, and futures contract settlements, to name a few settlement types. Prior to joining A.B. Data, Mr. Miller served as the Client Service Director for Rust Consulting, responsible there for its securities practice area. He has more than 20 years of operations, project management, quality assurance, and training experience in the class action administration industry. In addition, Mr. Miller manages A.B. Data's office in Palm Beach Gardens, Florida.

**Eric Schachter, Senior Vice President**, is a member of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Leadership Team. He has over 15 years of experience in the legal settlement administration services industry. Mr. Schachter's responsibilities include ensuring successful implementation of claims administration services for A.B. Data's clients in accordance with settlement agreements, court orders, and service agreements. He also works closely with Project Managers to develop plans of administration to provide the highest level of effective and efficient delivery of work product. A frequent speaker on claims administration innovation and best practices at industry events nationwide, Mr. Schachter has a bachelor's degree in sociology from Syracuse University, earned his law degree at Hofstra University School of Law, and was previously an associate at Labaton Sucharow LLP in New York City.

**Elaine Pang, Vice President, Media**, oversees the Media Department and is responsible for the direction, development, and implementation of media notice plans for A.B. Data's clients. Ms. Pang brings more than 15 years of experience in developing and implementing multifaceted digital and traditional media for high profile complex legal notice programs. She uses her experience in class actions and advertising to provide the best practicable notice plans for large scale campaigns across domestic and international regions, and she leverages her expertise to better understand the evolving media landscape and utilize cutting-edge technology and measurement tools. Prior to entering the class action industry, Ms. Pang worked with many leading reputable brands, including General Mills, Air Wick, Jet-Dry, Comedy Central, Madison Square Garden, Radio City Music Hall, and Geox. She earned her MBA from Strayer University and holds a BS in Marketing from Pennsylvania State University. Ms. Pang's credentials include Hootsuite Social Marketing Certification, Google Adwords and Analytics Certification, and IAB Digital Media Buying and Planning Certification.

**Paul Sauberer, Vice President of Quality**, is responsible for overseeing quality assurance and process management, working diligently to mitigate risk, ensure exceptional quality control, and develop seamless calculation programming. Mr. Sauberer brings more than 20 years of experience as a quality assurance specialist with a leading claims-processing company where he developed extensive knowledge in securities class action administration. He is recognized as the class action administration industry's leading expert on claims and settlement administrations of futures contracts class actions.

**Justin Parks, Vice President**, is a member of A.B. Data's Class Action Administration Leadership Team. Mr. Parks brings extensive experience in client relations to A.B. Data's business development team. Mr. Parks has over 15 years of experience in the legal settlement administration services industry and has successfully managed and consulted on notice plans and other administrative aspects in hundreds of cases. Mr. Parks is uniquely experienced in Data Privacy matters, having consulted with clients on numerous matters stemming from data breaches as well as violations of the Illinois Biometric Information Privacy Act (BIPA), including some of the first ever Biometric Privacy related settlements in history. Mr. Parks' knowledge and understanding of the class action industry, as well as his client relationship skills, expand A.B. Data's capacity to achieve its business development and marketing goals effectively.

**Steve Straub, Senior Director of Operations**, started with A.B. Data in 2012 as a Claims Administrator. He moved through the ranks within the company where he spent the past five years as Senior Project Manager managing many of the complex commodities cases such as *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation*, *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, and *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.* Mr. Straub's performance in these roles over the past ten years, along with his comprehensive knowledge of company and industry practices and first-person experience leading the project management team, has proven him an invaluable member of the A.B. Data team.

In his role as Senior Director of Operations, his responsibilities include developing efficiencies within the operations center, which includes mailroom, call center, and claims processing areas. His areas of expertise include business process development, strategic/tactical operations planning and implementation, risk analysis, budgeting, business expansion, growth planning and implementation, cost reduction, and profit, change, and project management. Mr. Straub is well-versed in the administration of securities, consumer, and antitrust class action settlements. He earned his Juris Doctor degree from Seton Hall University School of Law in Newark, New Jersey.

**Jack Ewashko, Director of Client Services**, brings twenty years of industry and brokerage experience to his role with A.B. Data. He is an accomplished client manager adept at facilitating proactive communications between internal and outside parties to ensure accurate and timely deliverables. Mr. Ewashko previously held positions at two claim administration firms where he oversaw the securities administration teams and actively managed numerous high-profile matters, including the \$2.3 billion foreign exchange litigation. He notably served as Vice President, FX and Futures Operations at Millennium Management, a prominent global alternative investment management firm. As he progressed through trading, analytic, management, and consultancy roles at major banks and brokerage firms, Mr. Ewashko gained hands-on experience with vanilla and exotic securities products, including FX, commodities, mutual funds, derivatives, OTC, futures, options, credit, debt, and equities products. In the financial sector, he also worked closely with compliance and legal teams to ensure accuracy and conformity with all relevant rules and regulations regarding the marketing and sale of products, as well as the execution and processing of trades. He has held Series 4, Series 6, Series 7, and Series 63 licenses, and has been a member of the Futures Industry Association (FIA) and Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). Mr. Ewashko earned his Bachelor of Business Administration from Long Island University, Brooklyn, New York.

**Brian Devery, Director of Client Services**, brings more than a decade of experience in class action administration and project management, as well as over two decades of experience as an attorney (ret.). Mr. Devery currently focuses on consumer, antitrust, employment, and other non-securities based administrations. In addition to driving project administration, he is focused on the implementation of process improvement, streamlining, and automation. Mr. Devery is admitted to practice law in State and Federal Courts of New York with his Juris Doctorate earned from the Maurice A. Deane School of Law at Hofstra University, Hempstead, New York.

**Adam Walter, PMP, Director of Client Services**, has nearly fifteen years of experience managing the administration of securities class action settlements and SEC disgorgements totaling more than \$4 billion. He has managed settlement programs in engagements involving some of the largest securities class action settlements and is a key contributor to the development of administration strategies that meet the evolving needs of our clients. His responsibilities include developing case administration strategies to ensure that all client and court requirements and objectives are met, overseeing daily operations of case administrations, ensuring execution of client deliverables, providing case-related legal and administration support to class counsel, overseeing notice dissemination programs, implementing complex claims-processing and allocation methodologies, establishing quality assurance and quality control procedures, and managing distribution of settlement funds. Mr. Walter holds a bachelor's degree in business administration from Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, Florida. He also has been an active member of the Project Management Institute since 2010 and is PMP®-certified.

**Eric Nordskog, Director of Client Services**, started with A.B. Data in 2012 on the operations team, managing dozens of team leads and claims administrators in the administration of legal cases and actions. In 2017, Mr. Nordskog was promoted to Project Manager, due in part to his proven ability to add consistency and efficiency to the e-claim filing process with new streamlined processes and audit practices. Today, as Senior Project Manager, he directs many of A.B. Data's securities, insurance, and



consumer cases. He regularly oversees the administration of large insurance cases, such as two recent Cigna Insurance matters that involved complex calculations and over one million class members each. He is also the primary hiring and training manager for new project managers and coordinators. Mr. Nordskog earned his Juris Doctor degree from Marquette University Law School, Milwaukee, in 2001.

**Eric Schultz, MCSE, Information Technology Manager and Security Team Chairperson**, has been with A.B. Data for more than 19 years, and is currently responsible for overseeing all information technology areas for all A.B. Data divisions across the United States and abroad, including network infrastructure and architecture, IT operations, data security, disaster recovery, and all physical, logical, data, and information systems security reviews and audits required by our clients or otherwise. As a Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer (MCSE) with more than 25 years of experience in information technology systems and solutions, Mr. Schultz has developed specializations in network security, infrastructure, design/architecture, telephony, and high-availability network systems.

## Secure Environment



**A.B. Data's facilities provide the highest level of security** and customization of security procedures, including:

- A Secure Sockets Layer server
- Video monitoring
- Limited physical access to production facilities
- Lockdown mode when checks are printed
- Background checks of key employees completed prior to hire
- Frequency of police patrol – every two hours, with response time of five or fewer minutes
- Disaster recovery plan available upon request

## Data Security



**A.B. Data is committed to protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of personal identifying information** and other information it collects from our clients, investors, and class members and requires that its employees, subcontractors, consultants, service providers, and other persons and entities it retains to assist in distributions do the same. A.B. Data has developed an Information Security Policy, a suite of policies and procedures intended to cover all information security issues and bases for A.B. Data, and all of its divisions, departments, employees, vendors, and clients. A.B. Data has also recently taken the necessary, affirmative steps toward compliance with the EU's General Data Protection Regulation and the California Consumer Privacy Act.

A.B. Data has a number of high-profile clients, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the United States Department of Justice, the Attorneys General of nearly all 50 states, other agencies of the United States government, and the Government of Israel, as well as direct banking and payment services companies with some of the most recognized brands in United States financial services and some of the largest credit card issuers in the world.

We are therefore frequently subjected to physical, logical, data, and information systems security reviews and audits. We have been compliant with our clients' security standards and have also been determined to be compliant with ISO/IEC 27001/2 and Payment Card Industry (PCI) data-security standards, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLB) of 1999, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Regulations, the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) of 1996, and the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (HITECH).

The Government of Israel has determined that A.B. Data is compliant with its rigorous security standards in connection with its work on Project HEART (Holocaust Era Asset Restitution Taskforce).

A.B. Data's fund distribution team has been audited by EisnerAmper LLP and was found compliant with class action industry standards and within 99% accuracy. EisnerAmper LLP is a full-service advisory and accounting firm and is ranked the 15th-largest accounting firm in the United States.

In addition, as part of PCI compliance requirements, A.B. Data has multiple network scans and audits from third-party companies, such as SecurityMetrics and 403 Labs, and is determined to be compliant with each of them.

## Fraud Prevention and Detection



### **A.B. Data is at the forefront of class action fraud prevention.**

A.B. Data maintains and utilizes comprehensive proprietary databases and procedures to detect fraud and prevent payment of allegedly fraudulent claims.

We review and analyze various filing patterns across all existing cases and claims. Potential fraudulent filers are reported to our clients as well as to the appropriate governmental agencies where applicable.

## Representative Class Action Engagements



**A.B. Data and/or its team members have successfully administered** hundreds of class actions, including many major cases. Listed below are just some of the most representative or recent engagements.

### Consumer & Antitrust Cases

- *In re EpiPen Marketing, Sales Practices and Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Commercial (Indirect)*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Indirect*
- *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation - Direct*
- *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation - Directs*
- *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation - Indirects*

- *Peter Staley, et al. v. Gilead Sciences, Inc., et al.*
- *In re: Opana ER Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Ranbaxy Generic Drug Application Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Valeant Pharmaceuticals Int'l, Inc. Third-Party Payor Litigation*
- *Staley, et al., v. Gilead Sciences*
- *In Re: Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation – Direct Purchasers*
- *Beef Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*
- *BCBSM, Inc. v. Vyera Pharmaceuticals, et al. (Daraprim)*
- *In re Automobile Antitrust Cases I and II*
- *Olean Wholesale Grocery Cooperative, Inc., et al. v. Agri Stats, Inc., et al. (Turkey)*
- *Integrated Orthopedics, Inc., et al. v. UnitedHealth Group, et al.*
- *In Re: Restasis (Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litigation*
- *Vista Healthplan, Inc., et al. v. Cephalon, Inc., et al. (Provigil)*
- *Jeffrey Koenig, et al. v. Vizio, Inc.*
- *Wit, et al. v. United Behavioral Health*
- *Weiss, et al. v. SunPower Corporation*
- *Smith, et al. v. FirstEnergy Corp., et al.*
- *Resendez, et al. v. Precision Castparts Corp. and PCC Structural, Inc.*
- *Julian, et al. v. TTE Technology, Inc., dba TCL North America*
- *Eugenio and Rosa Contreras v. Nationstar Mortgage LLC*
- *Phil Shin, et al. v. Plantronics, Inc.*
- *In re: Qualcomm Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Resistors Antitrust Litigation*
- *The Hospital Authority of Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee v. Momenta Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Sandoz Inc. ("Lovenox Antitrust Matter")*
- *William Kivett, et al. v. Flagstar Bank, FSB, and DOES 1-100, inclusive*
- *Adelphia, Inc. v. Heritage-Crystal Clean, Inc.*
- *LLE One, LLC, et al. v. Facebook, Inc.*
- *Bach Enterprises, Inc., et al. v. Advanced Disposal Services South, Inc., et al.*
- *JWG Inc., et al. v. Advanced Disposal Services Jacksonville, L.L.C., et al.*
- *State of Washington v. Motel 6 Operating L.P. and G6 Hospitality LLC*
- *In re GSE Bonds Antitrust Litigation*
- *Wave Lengths Hair Salons of Florida, Inc., et al. v. CBL & Associates Properties, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Loestrin 24 FE Antitrust Litigation*
- *Office of the Attorney General, Department of Legal Affairs, State of Florida v. Pultegroup, Inc. and Pulte Home Company, LLC*
- *In re Cigna-American Specialties Health Administration Fee Litigation*
- *In re: Intuniv Antitrust Litigation*
- *High Street, et al. v. Cigna Corporation, et al.*
- *Gordon Fair, et al. v. The Archdiocese of San Francisco, San Mateo, and Marin County*
- *Bizzarro, et al. v. Ocean County Department of Corrections, et al.*
- *Meeker, et al. v. Bullseye Glass Co.*
- *MSPA Claims 1, LLC v. Ocean Harbor Casualty Insurance Company*
- *Tennille v. Western Union Company - Arizona*
- *Garner, et al. v. Atherotech Holdings, Inc. and Garner, et al. v. Behrman Brothers IV, LLC, et al.*
- *Robinson, et al. v. Escallate, LLC*
- *Josefina Valle and Wilfredo Valle, et al. v. Popular Community Bank f/k/a Banco Popular North America*
- *Vision Construction Ent., Inc. v. Waste Pro USA, Inc. and Waste Pro USA, Inc. and Waste Pro of Florida, Inc.*

- *Plumley v. Erickson Retirement Communities, et al.*
- *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*
- *Ploss v. Kraft Foods Group, Inc. and Mondelēz Global LLC*
- *In re Mexican Government Bonds Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Ready-Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re: Marine Hose Antitrust Litigation*
- *Iowa Ready Mixed Concrete Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Potash Antitrust Litigation (II)*
- *In re Evanston Northwestern Healthcare Corp. Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re LIBOR-Based Financial Instruments Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Lorazepam and Clorazepate Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litigation*
- *Vista Healthplan, Inc., and Ramona Sakiestewa v. Bristol-Myers Squibb Co., and American BioScience, Inc.*
- *In re Lupron Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation*
- *In re Terazosin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re Warfarin Sodium Antitrust Litigation*
- *Rosemarie Ryan House, et al. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC and SmithKline Beecham Corporation*
- *Carpenters and Joiners Welfare Fund, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham*
- *New Mexico United Food and Commercial Workers Union's and Employers' Health and Welfare Trust Fund, et al. v. Purdue Pharma L.P.*
- *In Re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation*
- *Alma Simonet, et al. v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation, d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline*
- *In re Relafen Antitrust Litigation*
- *In Re Remeron Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*
- *In re TriCor Indirect Purchasers Antitrust Litigation*
- *Nichols, et al., v. SmithKline Beecham Corporation*
- *In re: DDAVP Indirect Purchaser Antitrust Litigation*

## Securities Cases

- *Plymouth County Retirement Association v. Spectrum Brands Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Tung, et al. v. Dycom Industries, Inc., et al.*
- *Boutchard., et al. v. Gandhi, et al. ("Tower/e-Minis")*
- *MAZ Partners LP v. First Choice Healthcare Solutions, Inc.*
- *SEB Investment Management AB, et al. v. Symantec Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Impinj, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Netshoes Securities Litigation*
- *Yellowdog Partners, LP, et al. v. Curo Group Holdings Corp., et al.*
- *In re Brightview Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Obalon Therapeutics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Willis Towers Watson PLC Proxy Litigation*
- *In re Blue Apron Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Qudian Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Plymouth County Contributory Retirement System v. Adamas Pharmaceuticals, et al.*
- *In re Perrigo Company PLC Securities Litigation*
- *Enriquez, et al. v. Nabriva Therapeutics PLC, et al.*
- *Teamsters Local 456 Pension Fund, et al. v. Universal Health Services, Inc., et al.*
- *Olenik, et al. v. Earthstone Energy, Inc.*

- *Shenk v. Mallinckrodt plc, et al.*
- *In re The Allstate Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *Christopher Vataj v. William D. Johnson, et al.* (PG&E Securities II)
- *Kirkland v. WideOpenWest, Inc.*
- *Oklahoma Police Pension and Retirement System v. Sterling Bancorp, Inc.*
- *In re Uxin Limited Securities Litigation*
- *City of Hallandale Beach Police Officers' & Firefighters' Personnel Retirement Trust v. Ergen, et al.* (Echostar)
- *Lewis v. YRC Worldwide Inc., et al.*
- *Tomaszewski v. Trevena, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Restoration Robotics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Public Employees' Retirement Systems of Mississippi, et al. v. Treehouse Foods, Inc., et al.*
- *Ronald L. Jackson v. Microchip Technology, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Micro Focus International plc Securities Litigation*
- *In re Dynagas LNG Partners LP Securities Litigation*
- *Weiss, et al. v. Burke, et al.* (Nutraceutical)
- *Yaron v. Intersect ENT, Inc., et al.*
- *Utah Retirement Systems v. Healthcare Services Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re PPDAl Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Evoqua Water Technologies Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Aqua Metals, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *St. Lucie County Fire District Firefighters' Pension Trust Fund v. Southwestern Energy Company*
- *In re CPI Card Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, et al. v. Alon USA Energy, Inc., et al.*
- *In re TAL Education Group Securities Litigation*
- *GCI Liberty Stockholder Litigation*
- *In re SciPlay Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *In re Allergan Generic Drug Pricing Securities Litigation*
- *In re Vivint Solar, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re YayYo Securities Litigation*
- *In re JPMorgan Treasury Futures Spoofing Litigation*
- *Searles, et al. v. Crestview Partners, LP, et al.* (Capital Bank)
- *In re Lyft, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Aegean Marine Petroleum Network, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re JPMorgan Precious Metals Spoofing Litigation*
- *In re Pivotal Software, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Longo, et al. v. OSI Systems, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Homefed Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Pierrelouis v. Gogo Inc., et al.*
- *Pope v. Navient Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Merit Medical Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Frontier Communications Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Holwill v. AbbVie Inc.*
- *Budicak, Inc., et al. v. Lansing Trade Group, LLC, et al.* (SRW Wheat Futures)
- *Yannes, et al. v. SCWorx Corporation*
- *In re Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac Senior Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement Class Action Litigations*
- *In re Myriad Genetics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Chicago Bridge & Iron Co. N.V. Securities Litigation*
- *The Arbitrage Fund, et al. v. William Petty, et al.* (Exactech)
- *In re Columbia Pipeline Group, Inc. Merger Litigation*

- *Martinek v. AmTrust Financial Services, Inc.*
- *City of Pittsburgh Comprehensive Municipal Pension Trust Fund, et al. v. Benefitfocus, Inc., et al.*
- *In re: Evoqua Water Technologies Corp. Securities Litigation*
- *Laydon v. Mizuho Bank, Ltd., et al.*
- *Lomingkit, et al. v. Apollo Education Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Caraco Pharmaceutical Laboratories, Ltd. Shareholder Litigation*
- *Norfolk County Retirement System, et al. v. Community Health Systems, Inc., et al.*
- *Chester County Employees' Retirement Fund v. KCG Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Oklahoma Law Enforcement Retirement System, et al. v. Adeptus Health Inc., et al.*
- *Di Donato v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc., et al.*
- *Lundgren-Wiedinmyer, et al. v. LJM Partners, Ltd, et al.*
- *Martin, et al. v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al.*
- *Stephen Appel, et al. v. Apollo Management, et al.*
- *In re Medley Capital Corporation Stockholder Litigation*
- *Forman, et al. v. Meridian BioScience, Inc., et al.*
- *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, et al. v. Endo International PLC, et al.*
- *In Re Flowers Foods, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Jiangchen, et al. v. Rentech, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Liberty Tax, Inc. Stockholder Litigation*
- *In re RH, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Lazan v. Quantum Corporation, et al.*
- *Nabhan v. Quantum Corporation, et al.*
- *Edmund Murphy III, et al. v. JBS S.A.*
- *Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi, et al. v. Sprouts Farmers Market, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Starz Stockholder Litigation*
- *Judith Godinez, et al. v. Alere Inc., et al.*
- *Rahman and Giovagnoli, et al. v. GlobalSCAPE, Inc., et al.*
- *Arthur Kaye, et al. v. ImmunoCellular Therapeutics, Ltd., et al.*
- *In re CPI Card Group Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Daniel Aude, et al. v. Kobe Steel, Ltd., et al.*
- *In re Quality Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Cooper, et al. v. Thoratec Corporation, et al.*
- *Washtenaw County Employees' Retirement System, et al. v. Walgreen Co., et al.*
- *Elkin v. Walter Investment Management Corp., et al.*
- *In Re CytRx Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *Ranjit Singh, et al. v. 21Vianet Group, Inc., et al.*
- *In re PTC Therapeutics, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mark A. Jones*
- *In re Sequans Communications S.A. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Henry Schein, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Ronge, et al. v. Camping World Holdings, Inc., et al.*
- *Oklahoma Firefighters Pension & Retirement System v. Lexmark International, Inc.*
- *Christakis Vrakas, et al. v. United States Steel Corporation, et al.*
- *Emerson et al. v. Mutual Fund Series Trust, et al. ("Catalyst")*
- *In re Fannie Mae 2008 Securities Litigation*
- *In re Anadarko Petroleum Corporation Class Action Litigation*
- *Ge Dandong, et al., v. Pinnacle Performance Limited, et al.*
- *In Re: Rough Rice Commodity Litigation*
- *Xuechen Yang v. Focus Media Holding Limited et al.*
- *In re Massey Energy Co. Securities Litigation*

- *In re Swisher Hygiene, Inc.*
- *The City of Providence vs. Aeropostale, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Metrologic Instruments, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*
- *Public Pension Fund Group v. KV Pharmaceutical Company et al.*
- *Pension Trust Fund for Operating Engineers, et al. v. Assisted Living Concepts, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Lehman Brothers Equity/Debt Securities Litigation*
- *In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation (Platinum/Palladium Physical Action)*
- *In re: Platinum and Palladium Commodities Litigation (Platinum/Palladium Futures Action)*
- *In re General Electric Co. Securities Litigation*
- *In re CNX Gas Corporation Shareholders Litigation*
- *Oscar S. Wyatt, Jr. et al. v. El Paso Corporation, et al.*
- *In re Par Pharmaceutical Securities Litigation*
- *In re Par Pharmaceutical Companies, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*
- *In re Delphi Financial Group Shareholders Litigation*
- *In re SLM Corporation Securities Litigation*
- *In re Del Monte Foods Company Shareholder Litigation*
- *Leslie Niederklein v. PCS Edventures!.com, Inc. and Anthony A. Maher*
- *In re Beckman Coulter, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *Michael Rubin v. MF Global, Ltd., et al.*
- *Allen Zametkin v. Fidelity Management & Research Company, et al.*
- *In re BP Prudhoe Bay Royalty Trust Securities Litigation*
- *Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit et al. v. SafeNet, Inc., et al.*
- *In re Limelight Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation*
- *In re Gilead Sciences Securities Litigation*
- *In re ACS Shareholder Litigation, Consolidated C.A. No. 4940-VCP*
- *Lance Provo v. China Organic Agriculture, Inc., et al.*
- *In re LDK Solar Securities Litigation*

### Labor & Employment Cases

- *Verizon OFCCP Settlement*
- *Alvarez, et al. v. GEO Secure Services, LLC*
- *Sartena v. Meltwater FLSA*
- *Carmen Alvarez, et al. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc., et al.*
- *Turner, et al. v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.*
- *Long, et al. v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority*
- *Matheson, et al. v. TD Bank, N.A.*
- *Ludwig, et al. v. General Dynamics Information Technology, Inc., et al.*
- *Bedel, et al. v. Liberty Mutual Group Inc.*
- *Irene Parry, et al. v. Farmers Insurance Exchange, et al.*
- *Maldonado v. The GEO Group, Inc.*
- *Alderman and Maxey v. ADT, LLC*
- *Albaceet v. Dick's Sporting Goods*
- *Rodriguez v. The Procter & Gamble Company*
- *Adekunle, et al. v. Big Bang Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a The Revenue Optimization Companies*
- *Gorski, et al. v. Wireless Vision, LLC*
- *Lopez, et al. v. New York Community Bank, et al.*
- *Hamilton, et al. v. The Vail Corporation, et al.*
- *Eisenman v. The Ayco Company L.P.*
- *Matheson v. TD Bank, N.A.*

- *Simon v. R.W. Express LLC, d/b/a Go Airlink NYC*
- *Perez v. Mexican Hospitality Operator LLC, d/b/a Cosme*
- *Shanahan v. KeyBank, N.A.*
- *Loftin v. SunTrust Bank*
- *Alvarez v. GEO Secure Services, LLC*
- *Weisgarber v. North American Dental Group, LLC*
- *Talisa Borders, et al. v. Wal-mart Stores, Inc.*
- *Reale v. McClain Sonics Inc., et al.*
- *Larita Finisterre and Songhai Woodard, et al. v. Global Contact Services, LLC*
- *Adebisi Bello v. The Parc at Joliet*
- *Garcia, et al. v. Vertical Screen, Inc.*
- *Brook Lemma and Matthieu Hubert, et al. v. 103W77 Partners LLC, et al. ("Dovetail Settlement")*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 1145 v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia*
- *Lisa Ferguson, Octavia Brown, et al. v. Matthew G. Whitaker, Acting AG, DOJ Bureau of Prisons ("USP Victorville")*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 2001 v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, Federal Correctional Institution, Fort Dix, New Jersey*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 506 v. U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Penitentiary Coleman II, Coleman, Florida*
- *Vargas v. Sterling Engineering*
- *Rosenbohm v. Verizon*
- *Alex Morgan, et al. v. United States Soccer Federation, Inc.*
- *Iskander Rasulev v. Good Care Agency, Inc.*
- *Kyndl Buzas, et al., v. Phillips 66 Company and DOES 1 through 10*
- *American Federation of Government Employees, Local 408 v. U.S. Dept. of Justice, Federal Bureau of Prisons, Federal Correctional Complex, Butner, NC*
- *In re 2014 Avon Products, Inc. ERISA Litigation*
- *In re Eastman Kodak ERISA Litigation*
- *Taronica White, et al. v. Attorney General Loretta Lynch, Department of Justice*
- *Lisa Ferguson, et al. v. Acting Attorney General Matthew Whitaker, Department of Justice*
- *Melissa Compere v. Nusret Miami, LLC, et al.*
- *Abelar v. American Residential Services, L.L.C., Central District of California*
- *Flores, et al. v. Eagle Diner Corp., et al., Eastern District of Pennsylvania*
- *Michael Furman v. Godiva Chocolatier, Inc., 15<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit, Palm Beach County, Florida*
- *Finisterre et. al v. Global Contact Services, LLC, New York State Supreme Court, Kings County*
- *McGuire v. Intelident Solutions, LLC, et al., Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division*
- *Duran De Rodriguez, et al. v. Five Star Home Health Care Agency, Inc. et al., Eastern District of New York*

### Data Breach/BIPA Cases

- *Hunter v. J.S.T. Corp. BIPA Settlement*
- *Atkinson, et al. v. Minted, Inc.*
- *Rosenbach, et al. v. Six Flags Entertainment Corporation and Great America LLC*
- *Pratz, et al. v. MOD Super Fast Pizza, LLC*
- *The State of Indiana v. Equifax Data Breach Settlement*
- *In re: Vizio, Inc. Consumer Privacy Litigation*
- *In re: Google, Inc. Street View Electronic Communications Litigation*
- *Devin Briggs and Bobby Watson, et al. v. Rhinog, Inc. ("Briggs Biometric Settlement")*
- *Trost v. Pretium Packaging L.L.C.*



- *In re: Barr, et al. v. Drizly, LLC f/k/a Drizly, Inc., et al.*

### Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) Cases

- *Perrong, et al. v. Orbit Energy & Power, LLC*
- *Baldwin, et al. v. Miracle-Ear, Inc.*
- *Floyd and Fabricant, et al. v. First Data Merchant Services LLC, et al.*
- *Hoffman, et al. v. Hearing Help Express, Inc., et al.*
- *Lowe and Kaiser, et al. v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc., et al.*
- *Johansen v. HomeAdvisor, Inc., et al.*
- *Charvat, et al. v. National Holdings Corporation*
- *Hopkins, et al. v. Modernize, Inc.*
- *Diana Mey vs. Frontier Communications Corporation*
- *Matthew Donaca v. Dish Network, L.L.C.*
- *Matthew Benzion and Theodore Glaser v. Vivint, Inc.*
- *John Lofton v. Verizon Wireless (VAW) LLC, et al.*
- *Lori Shamblin v. Obama for America, et al.*
- *Ellman v. Security Networks*

## For More Information

For more detailed information regarding A.B. Data's experience, services, or personnel, please see our website at [www.abdataclassaction.com](http://www.abdataclassaction.com).