This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system. Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.
*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS*** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.**

United States District Court

District of Massachusetts

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 10/2/2026 at 2:51 PM EDT and filed on 10/2/2026

Case Name:	Dufoe v. DraftKings Inc. et al
Case Number:	1:23-cv-10524-DJC
Filer:	
WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 07/30/2025	
Document Number:	142(No document attached)

Docket Text:
Chief District Judge Denise J. Casper: ELECTRONIC ORDER entered re [140] Motion for Acceptance of Late-Filed Claim. Having considered the motion of class member Mr. Spencer, D. 140, for acceptance of a late-filed claim, D. 140, and the response to same, D. 141, the Court DENIES same. Although Mr. Spencer was in touch with class counsel earlier in this litigation, D. 140-2 at 1-2, and was sent class notice to the email with which he communicated with counsel on both February 28, 2025 and again on March 29, 2025, D. 141-1 at 3, Mr. Spencer did not file a claim before the July 21, 2025 deadline for doing so, D. 113 at 4, or by the date of January 26, 2026, when the motion for distribution of net settlement funds was filed and now set by the Court as the final cutoff date for claims, D. 136. Having considered Mr. Spencer's filings, D. 140, including his declaration, D. 140-2, and the factors enumerated in Pioneer v. Inv. Servs. Co. v. Brunswick Assocs. Ltd. Pship, 507 U.S. 380, 395 (1993), those factors do not weigh in favor of allowing this claim now pursued more than 14 months after the original deadline to do so. Accordingly, the Court DENIES the motion. (SEC)